

**GILBERT**  
**STRANG:**

OK, this is my second video on the Laplace transform, and this one will be about solving second order equations.

So let me remember the plan. So there's our second order equation. And I'm taking this example first, with the delta function on the right-hand side. You remember, that's a key example. And actually, we have a special letter for the solution. This is an impulse. And the solution is the impulse response. And I use a little  $g$ . So I should have turned this  $y$  into a  $g$ . So that  $g$  is the solution, starting from 0 initial conditions, with a delta.

So what's the plan? We want to take the transform of every term. We have to check, what is the transform, the Laplace transform of the delta function? You remember the definition of the transform. It's this integral. You take your function-- whatever it is, here delta-- multiply by  $e^{-st}$  and integrate.  $s$  equals 0 to infinity.

Well, easy to integrate with a delta function there. It's 0, except where the impulse is, at  $t$  equals 0. And at  $t$  equals 0, this is 1. So the answer is 1. That's the nice Laplace transform of the impulse. And now I want the transform of the impulse response.

So the impulse response comes from this equation. So now, transform every term. So the transform of  $g$  will be called capital  $G$ . And the transform of the delta function is 1. And the derivative, you remember, has an extra factor,  $s$ . The transform of the derivative from the first Laplace transform video was  $s$  times  $g$  of  $s$ .

And the second derivative, another  $s$ . So  $s$  squared, times  $g$  of  $s$ . We're not surprised to see the very familiar quadratic, whose roots are the two exponents  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , showing up here. We've seen this every time, because we have constant coefficients. We always see this quantity. And now we see that, look, the transform, capital  $G$ . I divide by that. It's exactly the transfer function. So that's connecting in the idea of the transfer function to the Laplace transform of the impulse response, because I have this in the denominator.

OK, so I want now-- I've taken the transform of the equation. I've got the transform of little  $g$ , the impulse response. So now I'm ready to find  $G$ , the impulse response by invert Laplace transform. How do I find the function with that Laplace transform?

Right now, it's 1 over a quadratic. The whole idea of partial fractions is, split this so this  $G$  of  $s$ ,

final step. It's  $G$  of  $s$ . Well, you remember that this is the polynomial that has the two roots,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ . I'm going to write that as  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $s_1$ , and  $s$  minus  $s_2$ .

Those are the two roots from the quadratic formula-- the two poles, we could say, poles of  $G$  of  $s$ . And now I want to use partial fractions. So I want to separate this into two fractions. And it turns out that they are  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $s_1$ , minus  $1$  over  $s$  minus  $s_2$ . And it turns out there's a factor there to make it correct. You could check. When you put these, this over a common denominator, you get this. And when you put that over a common denominator, you'll get a numerator, which you have to cancel. OK.

So then, now I have two simple poles. And I could write here what I know, what  $g$  of  $t$  is. Remember, the function with that transform is just  $e$  to the  $s_1 t$ . The one most important of all transforms is the transform of the exponential, is that simple pole.

So now I invert the Laplace transform. So this gives me an  $e$  to the  $s_1 t$ , minus the function with that transform, is  $e$  to the  $s_2 t$ . And I still have this constant,  $s_1$  minus  $s_2$ .

I've re-discovered the impulse response. It's a solution to my equation with impulse force. And it's that particular function that plays such an important part in the whole subject of constant coefficient differential equations. Because you see it's the critical thing here. Here's the critical transfer function, and here is the inverse Laplace transform. The Laplace transform of that is that. Good. That's that example.

And now I just want to take another function than delta. OK. I could go all the way to take  $f$  of  $t$ , any  $f$  of  $t$ . But let me stay with examples. So now I'm going to do  $y$  double prime, plus  $b y$  prime, plus  $c y$ . They're all the most important example. The best one we could do would be cosine of  $\omega t$ . An oscillating problem, with an oscillating force, at a frequency different from the natural frequency, and we also have damping there.

So this is the standard, spring mass dashpot problem, or RLC circuit problem, certainly RLC circuits, highly important. And you might remember the solution gets a little messy. A solution gets a little messy. It's highly important, but-- so I'll carry it through to the last step. But I probably won't take that final step. Just, what I want you to see is another example of the inverse Laplace transform.

So what do we need? We need the Laplace transform of that. I plan to take the Laplace transform of every term.  $s$  squared plus  $bs$  plus  $c$ . We'll multiply. I'm transforming everything.

And here I have to put the transform of that. OK. So how will I get that? And of course, there might be a sine  $\omega t$  in there. I would really like to get them both at once.

So let me put down them both at once. The cosine and the sine are the real and imaginary parts of  $e$  to the  $i\omega t$ , right? Euler's great formula.  $e$  to the  $i\omega t$  is cosine  $\omega t$ , the real part, plus  $i$  sine  $\omega t$ , the imaginary part.

But now I know the transform of  $e$  to the  $a t$ ,  $e$  to the  $i\omega t$ . So that transforms to-- so I want the real and the imaginary parts of  $1$  over, you remember what it is. It's just that simple pole again,  $s$  minus the exponent  $i\omega$ .

So I'm going to get the cosine and the sine at the same time, from one calculation, finding the real and imaginary parts of this,  $1$  over  $s$  plus  $s$  minus  $i\omega$ . How do you deal with a pole, when if you want the real and imaginary parts, you're happy to get a real number in the denominator and see the real and imaginary parts up above. I don't like it when it's down there.

So what I'm going to do is, real and imaginary parts of, I'll multiply  $s$  minus  $i\omega$ . This is the key trick with complex numbers. It comes up enough, so it's good to learn. I multiply that by its conjugate,  $s$  plus  $i\omega$  over  $s$  plus  $i\omega$ . So I multiplied by  $1$ .

But you see, what's happened now is, real and imaginary parts of-- I've got what I want,  $s$  plus  $i\omega$  is now up in the numerator, where I can see it. And what do I have down below?  $s$  minus  $i\omega$  times  $s$  plus  $i\omega$ , the very important quantity.  $s$  squared minus  $i\omega s$ , plus  $i\omega s$ , minus  $i$  squared, that's plus  $1$   $\omega$  squared plus  $\omega$  squared. OK. We've done it.

I've transformed the cosine and the sine into  $s$  over this, and  $\omega$  over this. So two at once, two transforms. And of course, as always, we're able to do, and recognize, and work with the transforms of a special group of nice functions, exponentials above all, sines and cosines coming from exponentials, delta functions. It's a short list. Those are the ones we can do, and fortunately those are the ones that we need to do.

OK, so I'm now ready to put in the right-- what did that turn out to be? It turned out to be  $s$  from the cosine, I'm not doing the sine now, the cosine. I'm taking the real part. It's  $s$  over that positive  $s$  squared plus  $\omega$  squared. Are you with me? Left-hand side, all normal. I'm starting from  $0$  initial conditions, otherwise I would see the initial values in here. But I don't,

because they're 0.

And over here, I've got the transform of the right-hand side. OK? Then I just bring that down there. So finally, I know  $y$  of  $s$  is  $s$  over this all-important quadratic, and then I have the  $s$  squared plus  $\omega$  squared.

OK. Well, you see it did get harder. We have  $s$  squared from a quadratic there. We have two quadratics. We have a fourth-degree polynomial down there. Partial fractions will work. Partial fractions can simplify this, for any polynomials, but the algebra gets quickly worse when you get up to degree four. But actually, this can be, it could be done. And I don't plan to do it. To me, this would eventually give the solution to this example. But we have other ways to get that solution. And I believe, for me at least, the other ways are simpler.

I know that the solution is a combination of  $\cos \omega t$  and  $\sin \omega t$ . And this is the particular solution I'm talking about. And I can figure out what those combinations are, because I know the right form. Here, I have to deal with partial fractions and degree four, down there. I'm going to chicken out on that one. I won't completely chicken out. I'll say what the pieces look like. But I won't figure out all the numbers. OK.

So all this thing, I see as some constant over-- well, this factors into  $s$  minus  $s_1$   $s$  minus  $s_2$ . Those are the two roots, as we saw above. So I have a linear, a linear, and a quadratic. And partial fraction says that I can separate out the first linear, the second linear, and the third quadratic, which I could factor too, but-- I could factor  $s$  squared plus  $\omega$  squared into that times that, but it brings in imaginary numbers. So I'll put a  $c$  and a  $d$ . OK. Four numbers to be determined, or rather not to be determined. Because I'm going to stop there.

What I've discovered is this part would be the null solution, would be a null solution because it's involves  $e$  to the  $s_1 t$  and  $e$  to the  $s_2 t$ . This part, when I find the inverse transform, it must be the combination of  $\cos \omega t$  and  $\sin \omega t$ . So that's some combination, when I find that it's the transform of--

[AUDIO OUT]