



Revolving Loan Funds

- One of the most common development finance models
 - Part of the basic economic development “tool kit”
- Fills capital gaps not addressed via guarantees or CRA regulations
 - Externalities, high-risk debt, high info & transaction costs
- Several thousand exist in the US, mostly run by public agencies or non-profit economic development organizations
- Primarily funded through with government grants, especially from CDBG, EDA and state governments
- RLFs make loans to small businesses, largely for job creation goals. Loan repayments are then recycled to make additional loans.
- Typical RLF supplies medium-term debt at below market interest rates
- Growth in technical assistance services by RLFS

RLF Capitalization and Impact

- Collectively, RLFs report large impacts but most RLFs have capital below \$1 million and make 10 to 20 loans per year.
- A small set of RLFs have large capital bases, high lending volumes and generate more impact.
- Average RLF loan is small and higher risk than bank loans.
 - For federally funded RLFs, average loan was \$52,000 and default rate was 9.2% in mid-1990s.
 - State surveys show RLFs supplying smaller loans and have lower default rates.

State RLF Survey: Key Summary Data

Measure	AR	CA	IL	MN	NC	OH	WA
Total Loans Made	1,088	2,827	1,743	2,634	2,060	2,081	1,071
Median # of Loans	21	34	8	12	33	NA	12
Median Capital (\$000)	NA	500	400	500	1,300	1,300	<500
Share of grants/equity	NA	70%	95%	75%	69%	76%	90%
Median loan size	42,500	48,000	37,433	37,500	51,500	NA	30,000
Median loan term	5 yr.	7 yr.	7 yr.	8 yr.	8 yr.	NA	3.5 yr.

Performance Data: State RLF Profiles

Performance Measure	CA	IL	MN	NC	OH	WA
Total Jobs Created and Retained	14,537	18,5702	25,135	11,091	20,483	9,877
Average Cost per Job	\$14,308	\$5,148	\$6,485	\$9,233	\$21,188	\$5,984
Default Rate	6.9%	7.3%	6.1%	3.5%	1.2%	<5%

RLF LEVERS AND POLICIES

- Targeting policy- most critical RLF policy:
 - Eligible type of business/projects. Sets potential impact & scale
 - Informed by local ED goals & strategies, capital gaps
- Financial products and terms:
 - Loan size, interest rates, repayment terms and security; critical value in supplying subordinate and market rate debt
 - Tradeoffs between development and financial goals
- Capital structure and funding sources:
 - Defines level and type of lending for RLFs
 - Should match targeting and financing strategy
- Underwriting criteria and risk standards:
 - Formal policies to support sound lending and risk managements
 - Standards for ED impact, business capacity, repayment risk
- Development services:
 - Build demand, increase impact and reduce financial risks.
 - Core loan packaging, one-on-one advice and referral services
- Relationship building:
 - Explicit efforts to build referral networks, secure co-investment, expand development services, gain funding and political support,

Financial-Development Trade Offs

Scenario:	Assets and Cumulative Loans after 10 Years
\$ 2 million RLF	
Base Case: 7% rate, 5 year amortization 2% loss rate	Assets: \$1.82 million Loans: \$4.48 million
9% Interest rate	Assets: \$2.16 million Loans: \$5.2 million
3 Year Amortization	Assets: \$1.84 million Loans: \$6.4 million
4% Loss Rate	Assets: \$1.54 million Loans: \$4 million



RLF MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES

- Strategy Challenges
 - Defining a strategy to maximize impact with limited capital. Complement other economic development activities and avoid capital substitution.
 - Managing the trade-offs between economic development and financial objectives: taking risks and incurring losses, repayment terms and revolving loan capital,
- Operating Challenges
 - Managing professional origination, underwriting and approval process with limited staff and for high-risk borrowers.
 - Providing/securing sufficient technical assistance for client firms.
 - Building strong relationships with multiple partners: business community, lenders, political leaders, economic development agencies, and technical assistance providers.
- Capitalization Challenges
 - Securing sufficient and appropriate capital to achieve a sustainable scale



Industrial Site Revolving Loan Fund

- What is ISLF's targeting strategy? Financing strategy/policies?
- How well do they align with city ED goals?
- What are the strengths and weaknesses of these strategies?
- How well do ISLF lending operations appear to be managed?
- Do you see any best practices or lessons for other development finance entities from ISLF's experience?
- What changes or improvements would you recommend?