Cognitive Style "Types"

Myers-Briggs Type Indicator

Working with different types

15.301 Managerial Psychology

Psychological Types

Myers-Briggs Type Indicator

- Motivation people having consistently different preferences (styles) in perception and judgment
- History and popularity most frequently used personality test in US industry
- Limitation widely criticized by academics
- * Takeaway
 - Everyone has all eight skills, but in different amounts
 - used for insight and expansion of possibilities, not to label yourself or others in ways that limit growth

4 Basic Preferences or Styles

- ❖ Extraversion vs. Introversion (not shyness): outer world of people and things, energy generated from others vs. self-contained inner world of concepts and ideas
- ❖ Sensing vs. INtuitive: perception bottom-up through the senses vs. indirectly by making associations and models
- ❖ Thinking vs. Feeling: judgments made intellectually of true and false vs. personally valued and not-valued
- ❖ <u>Judgment vs. Perception:</u> drawing conclusions and acting on them easily, output and action focus vs. decide hesitantly with flexibility and focus on diagnosis

Managing People (E vs. I)

Extraversion

- Enjoys stimulation and variety
- * MBWA
- Open-door
- Meetings used
- Communication stressed

Introversion

- Easily overstimulated
- Self-contained
- Organized
- One-at-a-time
- Leaves others in the dark

Acquiring Information (S vs. N)

Sensing

- Matter-of-fact
- Empirical/practical
- Dislike fuzzy problems
- Specialist/functional perspective
- Present oriented

I<u>N</u>tuitive

- Generating ideas
- Enjoys new jobs
- Insight into complex problems
- Gestalt (top-down) perspective
- Future oriented

Making Judgments (T vs. F)

Thinking

- Tough-minded
- Analytic, quantitative
- Clear criteria
- Impersonal, detached
- Task-oriented
- Correct-incorrect

<u>F</u>eeling

- Value-centered
- People-oriented
- personal perspective
- Warmth, overcommitted
- Good-bad

Establishing Goals (J vs. P)

Judging

- Output-oriented
- "Time is money"
- Prefer action to analysis
- Implementation oriented

Perceiving Perceiving

- Take on many projects
- Overload
- "Look before leap"
- Emphasize diagnosis

The 2 Major Dimensions

Thinking

logical, scientific, impersonal, distant, rational

<u>Sensing</u>	ST	NT
specialist, factual, realist, practical, conventional	SF	NF
	<u>Feeling</u>	

Intuition

generalist, inventive, idealistic, holistic, future-oriented

artistic, passionate, personal, ethical

4 Types in 2 Dimensions

	ST	SF	NF	NT
	Practical	Social	Idealistic	Theoretic
				al
Perceive:	Facts	Facts	Possibilities	Possibilities
Judge by:	Analysis	Liking	Analysis	Liking
Skills as:	Operational	Operational	Strategic	Strategic
	technical	people	people	technical
	problem-	problem-	problem-	problem-
	solvers	solvers	definers	definers
Found in:	Accounting	Sales	Teaching	Science
	Law	Service	Writing	Entrepren.
	Surgery	Gen. Pract.	Psychiatry	Cardiology

Where You Find Them At Work

	ST (%)	SF(%)	NF(%)	NT(%)
Liberal Arts students	24	17	28	31
Science students	12	5	26	57
Mathematicians	4	0	32	64
Writers	12	0	65	23
Architects	0	0	50	50
Sales/customer rel.	11	81	8	0
College grads, industry hired	40	10	8	42
Wharton undergrads	51	21	10	18

The Ideal Organization

Kilmann & Mitroff

ST: clear jobs, clear hierarchy, physical space, individual serves goals of organization (realistic, often economic) NT: broad issues such as equitable pay and efficiency, individual serves the intellectual concept of organization

SF: good human relations, human qualities of workers, specific individuals, realistic

NF: global human goals such as "serving humanity," organization exists to serve people, idealistic, flexible, few rules, decentralized

Problem Definitions By Style

Case: A building construction company expanded into highway construction and was plagued by mixups, cost overruns, and other problems

ST: problems are the delays and costs, inefficiencies in purchasing dep't, lack of material resources

NT: lack of planning and coordination, communication failure, new complexity requires organization design

SF: frustration, lack of cooperation and understanding, climate of blame among departments

NF: company image, not fulfilling global goals or adhering to our identity, strategy doesn't fit the needs of social system

An Example: IT Support

Imagine building an information system to serve different types:

- Thinking types like tables of numbers, analyze and discard alternatives quickly, work methodically
- Feeling types like graphs and pictures, redefine the problem and their method as they go, consider several alternatives together
- Sensing types need complete exposure to all the data and personal experience (case-based gut feel) before looking at math or verbal (theory) models
- Intuitive types need to look at the relationships among the data and jump around in the data

Working With the Types

People believe everyone thinks the same way. If we disagree, you're uninformed or stupid!

- Es: Is are uninterested or withholding information. (Is are processing internally)
- Is: Es are inconsistent (Es are thinking aloud)
- Js: Ps are procrastinating and unreliable (Ps try to keep options open)
- Ps: Js are rigid and controlling (Js are structuring and scheduling)

Working With the Types

- Preferences and style tend to become strengths and weaknesses: Are you a prisoner of your type?
- When would it be better to build on strengths vs. exercise your recessive mode?
- When should we form homogenous groups vs. heterogeneous groups?
- Types need each other, e.g., strategic planning without operational content is empty, technical content without people content is not persuasive

Questions for Reflection

- What distinctive style, preferences, and beliefs do you bring to your work and personal life?
- What has happened when you dealt with people of contrasting style and beliefs?
- How might it matter if differences are due to personality, situation, or culture?