

Chapter 9 Notes, 9.4 Inferences for Two Way Count Data

Let's say we want to test the association of income to job satisfaction. We could do a survey in at least 2 ways:

Sampling Model 1 (n fixed): Draw n people randomly from the population and ask their income and how satisfied they are with their job.

	very dissatisfied	dissatisfied	satisfied	very satisfied	row total
<\$6000	20	24			206
\$6K-15K	22	60			
\$15-25K	13	5			
>\$25K	7	19			
column total	62	108			901

Here $n = 901$.

Sampling Model 2 (Row totals fixed): Fix $n_{1.}, n_{2.}, n_{3.}, \dots$ which are going to be row totals. Draw $n_{1.}$ people that make < \$6000, draw $n_{2.}$ people that make between \$6000 and \$15000, etc., randomly from the population and ask how satisfied they are with their job.

	very dissatisfied	dissatisfied	satisfied	very satisfied	row total
<\$6000	35				$n_{1.}$
\$6K-15K	20				$n_{2.}$
\$15-25K	:				$n_{3.}$
>\$25K					
column total					n

Notation for both models:

		columns				
		1	...	j	...	c
	1					
	:					
rows	i	n_{ij}				$n_{i.}$
	:					
	r					
	column total	$n_{.j}$				

First index is row, second index is column.

Let X = row variable (income), and Y = column variable (satisfaction level).

For sampling model 1 we want to test whether X and Y are statistically independent, that is, H_0 is the “hypothesis of independence.”

$$H_0 : P(X = i, Y = j) = P(X = i)P(Y = j) \text{ for all } i, j.$$

$$H_1 : P(X = i, Y = j) \neq P(X = i)P(Y = j) \text{ for some } i, j.$$

Model 1 Notation:

$$p_{ij} = P(X = i, Y = j) = \text{prob. to land in } ij^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}$$

$$p_{i.} = P(X = i) = \text{prob. to land in } i^{\text{th}} \text{ row}$$

$$p_{.j} = P(Y = j) = \text{prob. to land in } j^{\text{th}} \text{ column}$$

Here's the formula for χ^2 :

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{ij} \frac{(n_{ij} - \hat{e}_{ij})^2}{\hat{e}_{ij}}$$

To calculate χ^2 we need the \hat{e}_{ij} 's:

$$\hat{e}_{ij} = \text{expected chunk of sample landing in } ij^{\text{th}} \text{ bin}$$

$$= nP(X = i, Y = j)$$

$$= nP(X = i)P(Y = j) \quad \boxed{\text{(where did this come from?)}}$$

$$\approx n \frac{n_{i.}}{n} \frac{n_{.j}}{n} = \frac{n_{i.}n_{.j}}{n}$$

In the last line we used data to estimate the probabilities.

Is this a little weird? We used data for both the \hat{e}_{ij} 's and the n_{ij} 's.

The d.f. turns out to be $df = (r - 1)(c - 1)$.

So an α -level test rejects H_0 when $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{(r-1)(c-1), \alpha}$.

For sampling model 2, we want to test whether $P(Y|X)$ is independent of X .

Why can't we show $P(X = i, Y = k) = P(X = i)P(Y = j)$?

So we'll use:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &: P(Y = j|X = i) = P(Y = j) \text{ for all } i, j \\ H_1 &: P(Y = j|X = i) = P(Y = j) \text{ for some } i \text{ and } j. \end{aligned}$$

Model 2 notation:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij} &= P(Y = j|X = i) \\ p_j &= P(Y = j). \end{aligned}$$

(There's a good reason I'm using the same notation p_{ij} to mean something different in Model 2.)

In Model 2, the null hypothesis is the "hypothesis of homogeneity":

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &: (p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \dots, p_{ic}) = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_c) \text{ for all } i \\ H_1 &: (p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \dots, p_{ic}) = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_c) \text{ for some } i \end{aligned}$$

To calculate χ^2 , again we need \hat{e}_{ij} 's:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_{ij} &= \text{expected chunk of } i^{\text{th}} \text{ sample landing in } j^{\text{th}} \text{ bin} \\ &= n_i P(Y = j|X = i) \\ &= n_i P(Y = j) \quad \boxed{\text{(where did this come from?)}} \\ &\approx n_i \frac{n_{.j}}{n} = \frac{n_i \cdot n_{.j}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

And now, the formula for the \hat{e}_{ij} 's is the same as for Model 1.
So again, reject when:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{ij} \frac{(n_{ij} - \hat{e}_{ij})^2}{\hat{e}_{ij}} > \chi_{(r-1)(c-1), \alpha}^2.$$

Example

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

15.075J / ESD.07J Statistical Thinking and Data Analysis
Fall 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.