

Chapter 12

Problem Set Solutions

12.1 Problem Set 1 Solutions

1.

$$\vec{A} = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.1)$$

(a)

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \nabla \times \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \nabla \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \times \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (12.2)$$

except at $x = y = 0$ where $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ is singular.

(b) For any closed path which does not wind around $x = y = 0$ line one gets

$$\oint_C d\vec{S} \cdot \vec{A} = 0 \quad (12.3)$$

because of above.

If C winds around the $z - \alpha \times \beta$ one instead gets,

$$\oint_C d\vec{S} \cdot \vec{A} = 2\pi \quad (12.4)$$

We conclude that

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = 2\pi\delta(x)\delta(y)\hat{z} \quad (12.5)$$

A way to realize the setup is a thin long solenoid at $z - \alpha \times \beta$.

(c)

$$H = \frac{(\vec{p} + q\vec{A})^2}{2\mu} = \frac{p^2 + 2q\vec{A}\vec{p} + q^2\vec{A}^2}{2\mu} \quad (12.6)$$

using $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = 0$.

We change to cylindrical cards and consider the wave-function

$$\psi(\rho, z > \emptyset) = \psi(\rho, z)e^{im\emptyset} \Rightarrow H = \frac{p_\rho^2}{2\mu} + \frac{p_z^2}{2\mu} + \frac{L_z^2}{2\mu\rho^2} + \frac{A_\emptyset L_z}{\mu} + \frac{q^2 A^2}{2\mu} \quad (12.7)$$

For $\vec{A} = \frac{\hat{u}}{q}$ one gets

$$H\psi = \frac{1}{2\mu}(p_\rho^2 + p_z^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2}(\hbar + q)^2)\psi \quad (12.8)$$

We see the contrufugel pet.

$$V = \frac{1}{2\mu\rho^2}(\hbar + q)^2 \quad (12.9)$$

unless $q = \hbar m$ (in which case $\psi \rightarrow \psi e^{im\emptyset}$) we change the spectrum. For ψ to be single valued, $\emptyset = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{q} \Rightarrow$ flux quantization.

- (d) As $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ the dependence on \vec{A} of the spectrum gets away so this may quantum. As $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, $V = \frac{1}{2\mu\rho^2}q^2$. A classical effect is that as you change the strength of A , i.e., q spectrum changes continuously.
- (e) If you Legendre transform you see that

$$d \supset \frac{1}{2}\mu\rho^2\emptyset^2 - \emptyset\rho q A_\emptyset \quad (12.10)$$

in cylindrical cards (there are other terms that L don't better). A conserved charge associated with the solutions around the $z - \alpha \times \beta$ is

$$d_z = \frac{\partial d}{\partial \emptyset} = m\rho^2\emptyset - \rho q A_\emptyset \quad (12.11)$$

This is canonical momentum. The mechanical momentum $L_z + \rho q A_\emptyset$ is not necessarily conserved.

2. (a)

$$A_{\mu\nu\rho} \rightarrow A_{\mu\nu\rho} + I_{[\mu\Lambda\nu\rho]} \quad (12.12)$$

Define

$$F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = I_{[\mu\Lambda\nu\rho\sigma]} \quad (12.13)$$

Then

$$K.E. = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4!} F^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \quad (12.14)$$

E.O.M.:

$$I_\mu F^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 0 \quad (12.15)$$

(Bronchi is trivial since there is no 5-index anti-symmetric tensor).

Easiest way to see the number of d.o.f. is to take Poincare dual:

$$F(x) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x) \quad (12.16)$$

so there is one field degree of freedom off-shell.

On-shell one uses E.O.M.:

$$I_\mu F(x) = 0 \Rightarrow F(x) = F = \text{constant} \quad (12.17)$$

This is suggested to be associated with the cosmological constant: *hep-th* 0111032, *hep-th* 0005276

- (b) $A_{\mu\nu\rho}$ couples to volume-form $dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho$. The classical source coupling is $\int A_{\mu\nu\rho} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho$. Under a g.t. this changes as

$$\int_\mu A_{\mu\nu\rho} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho \rightarrow \int_\mu A_{\mu\nu\rho} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho + \int_\mu I_\mu A_{\nu\rho} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho \quad (12.18)$$

We should require that

$$\int_{I_\mu} \Lambda_{\nu\rho} dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho = 0 \quad (12.19)$$

where I_μ denotes the boundary of the shape it couples to. So either you require $\Lambda_{\nu\rho}(I_\mu) = 0$ to be the only sensible g.t.'s or you require $I_\mu = 0$ (μ is compact) (which solves the problem for arbitrary $\Lambda_{\nu\rho}$).

(c) E.O.M. gets modified as

$$I_\mu F^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = J^{\nu\rho\sigma} \quad (12.20)$$

Let's find the source

$$J^{\mu\nu\rho}(x) = \frac{\delta}{\delta A^{\mu\nu\rho}(x)} \int_\mu A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(y) dy^\alpha \Lambda dy^\beta \Lambda dy^\gamma \quad (12.21)$$

To vary with respect to $A^{\mu\nu\rho}(x)$ which lives on Minkewski, we should work out the embedding of μ into Minkewski. Parameterize the space-time coordinate on the world-volume as $y^\mu(u_1, u_2, u_3)$. Then above integral is

$$\int_{Minkewski} d^4x A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x) \det\left(\frac{dy^\alpha}{dx^\mu}\right) \delta(F(y)) \quad (12.22)$$

where $F(y)$ defines the surface

$$J_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x) = \int \delta^4(x - y(u_1, u_2, u_3)) \left(\det \frac{Iy^\alpha}{du^i}\right) d^3u \quad (12.23)$$

(d) Important features are:

- B_μ encodes all information in $A_{\mu\nu\rho}$.
- It has the gauge symmetry.
-

$$B^\mu \rightarrow B^\mu = I_\nu \Lambda^{\mu\rho} \quad (12.24)$$

-

$$F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \nabla \cdot B \quad (12.25)$$

(e) Complete solution can be found in Peskin and Schroeder.

3.

4. (a) To find a basis for $SU(N)$ matrices parameterize the $N \times N$ traceless and Hermitian matrix. In case of $SU(3)$ this is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b+ic & d+ie \\ b-ic & f & g+ih \\ d-ie & \rho-ih & -a-f \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & \\ 1 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\ c \begin{pmatrix} & i & \\ -i & & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 0 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$e \begin{pmatrix} & & i \\ & 0 & \\ -i & & \end{pmatrix} + f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix} + g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & & 1 \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix} + h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & & i \\ & -i & \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.26)$$

We read of the basis elements \tilde{T}_a as coefficient of a, b, \dots, h , requiring $tr w^a w^b = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$ means choosing $w^a = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{T}_a$. This is a nice basis.

(b)

$$|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 = \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 \quad (12.27)$$

Therefore, topology of $SU(2)$ is S^3 .

Topology of $SU(3)$ is an S^3 bundle over S^5 (see *hep - th* 9812006).

- (c) For any representation of a Lie algebra $[T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c$ one can get a conjugate representation by $\tilde{T}^a = -T^a$ because taking complex conjugate of the commutation relations give $[-T^{a*}, -T^{b*}] = i f^{abc} (-T^{c*})$ for f^{abc} real. Since T^a are Hermitian complex conjugate of a covariant vector transforms as contravariant vector.

A general tensor with n upper, m lower indices can be used to denote a general (might be reducible) representation: $\rho_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{j_1 \dots j_n}$ transforms as

$$\rho \rightarrow [T_a \rho]_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{l=1}^n [T_a]_k^{j_l} \rho_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{j_1 \dots k \dots j_n} - \sum_{l=1}^m [T_a]_{i_l}^k \rho_{i_1 \dots k \dots i_m}^{j_1 \dots j_n} \quad (12.28)$$

From this transformation law, it is clear that one can impose symmetry among $(j_1 \dots j_n)$ and $(i_1 \dots i_m)$ and also one can impose tracelessness: $\delta_{j_1}^{i_1} \rho_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{j_1 \dots j_n} = 0$

In fact every tensor with n symmetric upper and m symmetric lower index with the additional restriction of tracelessness corresponds to an irreducible representations.

δ_i^j transforms

$$[T^a \delta]_j^i = [T^a]_j^k \delta_k^i - [T^a]_k^i \delta_j^k = 0 \quad (12.29)$$

so it is invariant. $\epsilon_{i_1 i_2}$ transforms as

$$[T^a \epsilon]_{i_1 i_2} = [T^a]_{i_1}^k \epsilon_{k i_2} + [T^a]_{i_2}^k \epsilon_{i_1 k} \quad (12.30)$$

since ϵ is anti-symmetric only independent component is ϵ_{12}

$$[T^a \epsilon]_{12} = [T^a]_1^1 \epsilon_{12} + [T^a]_2^2 \epsilon_{12} = \epsilon_{12} \text{tr}[T^a] = 0 \quad (12.31)$$

so ϵ is invariant.

You can raise indices with ϵ^{ij} so sufficient to consider only upper index tensor in $SU(2)$. For a tensor $\tau^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$ applying $\epsilon_{i_r i_s}$ on the antisymmetric components give invariant subspaces. Hence totally symmetric requirements are irreducible. Dimension of $\rho^{j_1 \dots j_n}$ (with $i_1 \dots i_n$ symmetrized) can be found as follows: i_k runs over 1, 2. So linearly independent components of ρ are given by partitioning the set $i_1 \dots i_n$ as $111 \dots 1/222 \dots 2$. The number of ways of doing this is the number of ways you can put one partition among n boxes, i.e., $\binom{n+1}{1} = n+1$. Note that this is the dimension of spin $-\frac{n}{2}$ representation.

From the transformation law L gave above we see that

$$[T_a \rho]^{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{l=1}^n [T^a]_k^{j_l} \rho^{j_1 \dots j_{l-1} k j_{l+1} \dots j_n} \quad (12.32)$$

since $T_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\rho^{i_1 \dots i_n} = \rho^{i_1} \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \rho^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes 1 + \dots + 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes \rho^{i_n} \quad (12.33)$$

where each covariant vector is a spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ representation, T_3 reads the total S_z (z components of the spin) in the representation $\rho^{i_1 \dots i_n}$. This is in the range $(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n}{2})$ so $\rho^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ is indeed a spin $-\frac{n}{2}$ representation and each state in this representation is labeled by the eigenvalue of T_3 . Bells are ringing.

- (d) Tensor products of representation of the group is $R_1 \otimes R_2$. Since group elements are obtained by exponentiating the algebra $G = e^T$, tensor products of the representation of the algebra are of the form $r_1 \otimes 1_2 + 1_1 \otimes r_2$. This obviously satisfy the same commutation relations.

Let me only show the evaluation of $C_2(\rho)$ in the most non-trivial example, $C_2(27)$ in $SU(3)$. Consider the Clebsch-Gordon decomposition of a product representation:

$$\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 = \sum_i \rho_i \quad (12.34)$$

The way T^a acts on $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$ is given above

$$T_{\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2}^a = T_{\rho_1}^a \otimes 1_{\rho_2} + 1_{\rho_1} \otimes T_{\rho_1}^a \quad (12.35)$$

So

$$\text{tr}(T_{\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2}^a T_{\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2}^a) = (C_2(\rho_1) + C_2(\rho_2))d\rho_1 d\rho_2 \quad (12.36)$$

On the other hand,

$$T_{\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2}^a = \sum_i T_{\rho_i}^a \quad (12.37)$$

$$\text{tr}(T_{\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2}^a T_{\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2}^a) = \text{tr}\left(\sum_i T_{\rho_i}^a \sum_j T_{\rho_j}^a\right) \quad (12.38)$$

$$= \sum_i \text{tr}(T_{\rho_i}^a T_{\rho_i}^a) \quad (12.39)$$

$$= \sum_i C_2(\rho_i) d\rho_i \quad (12.40)$$

Then,

$$(C_2(\rho_1) + C_2(\rho_2))d\rho_1 d\rho_2 = \sum_i C_2(\rho_i) d\rho_i \quad (12.41)$$

27 occurs in the product of two 8's:

$$8 \times 8 = 27 + 10 + \bar{10} + 8 + 8 + 1 \quad (12.42)$$

You should have found that $C_2(8) = 3$, $C_2(10) = 6$. Plug these in:

$$(3 + 3) \cdot 8 \cdot 8 = C_2(27) \cdot 27 + 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 8 + 0 \quad (12.43)$$

$$8 \cdot 8 \cdot 6 = 27C_2(27) + 168 \quad (12.44)$$

$$C_2(27) = \frac{216}{27} \quad (12.45)$$

$$= 8 \quad (12.46)$$