

8.324 Relativistic Quantum Field Theory II

MIT OpenCourseWare Lecture Notes

Hong Liu, Fall 2010

Lecture 4

1.3.3: Field equations and conservation laws

We begin with the Lagrangian we considered in the last lecture:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= -\frac{c}{4}\text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) - i\bar{\Psi}(\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m)\Psi \\ &= -\frac{c}{4}\text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu b} T^a T^b) - i\bar{\Psi}(\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - igA_\mu^a T^a) - m)\Psi.\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

For the gauge group $SU(n)$, we can choose T^a such that $\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = \delta_{ab}$, and so $c = 1$. We now obtain the equations of motion.

A. Varying A_μ :

$$\delta F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu \delta A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu \delta A_\mu^a + gf_{bc}^a(\delta A_\mu^b A_\nu^c + A_\mu^b \delta A_\nu^c) = (D_\mu \delta A_\nu)^a - (D_\nu \delta A_\mu)^a \quad (2)$$

where $(D_\mu \delta A_\nu)^a \equiv \partial_\mu \delta A_\nu^a + gf_{bc}^a A_\mu^b \delta A_\nu^c$. Now,

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} - g\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu T^a \Psi \delta A_\mu^a, \quad (3)$$

and so

$$(D_\mu F^{\mu\nu})^a = J^{\nu a}, \quad (4)$$

where $(D_\mu F^{\mu\nu})^a \equiv \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu a} + gf_{bc}^a A_\mu^b F^{\mu\nu c}$, and $J^{\nu a} \equiv g\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\nu T^a \Psi$. Equation (4) can also be written as:

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu a} = j^{\nu a} \quad (5)$$

with $j^{\nu a} \equiv g\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\nu T^a \Psi - gf_{bc}^a A_\mu^b F^{\mu\nu c}$.

B. Varying Ψ :

$$(\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m)\Psi = 0. \quad (6)$$

We note for emphasis that this is a matrix equation. Now, we recall that in quantum electrodynamics, $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = j^\nu$, with $j^\nu \equiv e\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\nu \Psi$ and $\partial_\nu j^\nu = 0$, that is, j^ν is a conserved current. When $j = 0$, A_μ is a free field, and we obtain free electromagnetic wave solutions.

Remarks:

1. In the non-Abelian case, the theory for A_μ remains interacting with $\Psi = 0$. In quantum electrodynamics, A_μ is neutral, whereas, in the non-Abelian case, A_μ^a carries the group index, and so is charged under itself, leading to self-interaction.
2. In terms of $F_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}^a T^a$ and $J^\nu = J^{\nu a} T^a$, we have from (4) that

$$D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = J^\nu, \quad (7)$$

with $D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} - ig[A_\mu, F^{\mu\nu}]$, and under a gauge transformation,

$$\begin{aligned}F_{\mu\nu} &\longrightarrow V F_{\mu\nu} V^\dagger, \\ D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} &\longrightarrow V D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} V^\dagger.\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

more generally, for any $X = X^a T^a$, which transforms as $X \longrightarrow V X V^\dagger$, $D_\mu X = \partial_\mu X - ig[A_\mu, X]$ transforms as $D_\mu X \longrightarrow V(D_\mu X)V^\dagger$. From (7), we have that

$$J^\nu \longrightarrow V J^\nu V^\dagger. \quad (9)$$

This will be checked directly in the problem sets.

3. Acting with D_ν on (7),

$$\begin{aligned} D_\nu D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} [D_\nu, D_\mu] F^{\mu\nu} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [F_{\nu\mu}, F^{\mu\nu}] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so $D_\nu J^\nu = 0$. This can also be checked directly from the equations of motion.

4. J^ν is precisely the conserved Noether current for global $SU(n)$ symmetry in the absence of A_μ . For $A_\mu \neq 0$, J^ν is covariantly conserved. Of course, (1) is also invariant under global transformations

$$\Psi(x) \longrightarrow V\Psi(x), \quad A_\mu(x) \longrightarrow VA_\mu(x)V^\dagger, \quad (10)$$

with V a position-independent $SU(n)$ matrix. The Noether current for this global symmetry is precisely j^ν , which was introduced in (5). From (5),

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0. \quad (11)$$

Note that j^ν depends on A_μ non-trivially, which is true if and only if A_μ^a is charged. j^ν is not gauge invariant: it does not have good transformation properties.

1.3.4 Further generalizations

A **representation of a Lie group** G on a vector space V is a linear action

$$g \cdot v \in V \quad (12)$$

for $g \in G, v \in V$, such that

$$g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot v) = (g_1 \circ g_2) \cdot v \quad (13)$$

where \circ is the group product. Here, V is the **representation space**.

A **representation of a Lie algebra** \mathfrak{g} on a vector space V is a linear action

$$x \cdot v \in V \quad (14)$$

for $g \in G, v \in V$, such that

$$[x, y] \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v) - y \cdot (x \cdot v) \quad (15)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is defined using the group product. The concept of a representation is, again, tailor-made for physics. Here, V is the physical space, and the same abstract group can appear in different physical contexts, with different V (with different representations). We note that a representation for G induces a representation for \mathfrak{g} , and visa versa.

Example 1: Angular momentum, $SU(2)$

A spin- j representation is described by $(2j + 1) \times (2j + 1)$ matrices, acting on a $(2j + 1)$ -dimensional V . For $SU(n)$, representing the group as $n \times n$ unitary matrices acting on n -dimensional complex vectors gives the **fundamental representation**. Here,

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (16)$$

with T^a in the fundamental representation. More generally, a representation r of \mathfrak{g} (or G) of dimension d_r is defined by $d_r \times d_r$ matrices $T_a^{(r)}$ that represent the generators, satisfying

$$\left[T_a^{(r)}, T_b^{(r)} \right] = if_{ab}^c T_c^{(r)}. \quad (17)$$

One can prove that, for compact groups,

$$\text{Tr}(T_a^{(r)} T_b^{(r)}) = C(r) \delta_{ab}, \quad (18)$$

where $C(r)$ is a positive number depending on the representation r . For non-compact groups, $\text{Tr}(T_a^{(r)} T_b^{(r)})$ is not positive-definite. Amongst all representations, there is a special one for all G (and \mathfrak{g}). The **adjoint representation**,

which is universal for all Lie groups and Lie algebras, has as its representation space the vector space for the Lie algebra itself. That is, $V = \mathfrak{g}$ ($\dim V = \dim \mathfrak{g}$). The action of the Lie algebra is defined, for $x \in V = \mathfrak{g}$, $y \in \mathfrak{g}$, by

$$y \cdot x \equiv [y, x]. \quad (19)$$

We need to show that this rule satisfies (15), that is,

$$y_1 \cdot (y_2 \cdot x) - y_2 \cdot (y_1 \cdot x) = [y_1, y_2] \cdot x. \quad (20)$$

The left-hand side of this equation is $[y_1, [y_2, x]] - [y_2, [y_1, x]]$, and the right-hand side is $[[y_1, y_2], x]$. The equality of these follows from the Jacobi identity, which is an automatic consequence of the associativity of the group product, so (19) indeed gives a representation. For $SU(n)$, the $T_a^{(adj)}$ are $(n^2 - 1) \times (n^2 - 1)$ matrices. Now we go back to the theory, and generalize as follows: we consider a general compact group G in place of $SU(n)$, with Ψ in the vector space of some representation r of G . $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T_a^{(r)} \in \mathfrak{g}$. Now we take

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{c}{4} \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) - i\bar{\Psi}(\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m)\Psi, \quad (21)$$

with $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv F_{\mu\nu}^a T_a^{(r)}$, $D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu - igA_\mu^a T_a^{(r)}$. Since $\text{Tr}(T_a^{(r)} T_b^{(r)}) = C(r)\delta_{ab}$, to maintain canonical normalization, $c = \frac{1}{C(r)}$. Here, compactness of G is essential, as non-compactness leads to the wrong sign for kinetic terms of A_μ^a . Now, the action of the Lie group G on $V = \mathfrak{g}$ is given, for $g \in G$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, by

$$g \cdot x \equiv g \circ x \circ g^{-1}. \quad (22)$$

It is easy to check that this satisfies the group action product rule (13). For infinitesimal $g = 1 + iy$, $y \in \mathfrak{g}$, this action reduces to (19). In matrix form, for $x \in V = \mathfrak{g}$, $x = x^b T_b$ (the upper and lower indices can be distinct for this general treatment)

$$T_a^{(adj)} \cdot x = [T_a, x] = [T_a, T_b] x^b = if_{ab}^c T_c x^b. \quad (23)$$

On the other hand,

$$T_a^{(adj)} \cdot x = (T_a^{(adj)} \cdot x)^c T_c \quad (24)$$

where $(T_a^{(adj)} x)^c \equiv (T_a^{(adj)})_b^c x^b$. Hence,

$$(T_a^{(adj)})_b^c = if_{ab}^c. \quad (25)$$

Remarks:

1. Consider M^a in the adjoint representation, $a = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}$;

$$\begin{aligned} (D_\mu M)^a &= \partial_\mu M^a - igA_\mu^a (T_b^{(adj)} \cdot M)^a \\ &= \partial_\mu M^a + gf_{bc}^a A_\mu^b M^c, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from (25). In matrix form, with $M = M^a T_a^{(r)}$ for any representation r ,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu M &= \partial_\mu M - igA_\mu^a T_a^{(adj)} \cdot M \\ &= \partial_\mu M - igA_\mu^a T_a^{(r)} \\ &= \partial_\mu M - ig[A_\mu, M] \end{aligned}$$

with $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T_a$.

2. Under a gauge transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} M &\longrightarrow VMV^{-1}, \\ M^a T_a &\longrightarrow M^a VT_a V^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$M^a \longrightarrow M^a D_a^b, \quad (26)$$

where $VT_a V^{-1} \equiv D_a^b T_b$.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

8.324 Relativistic Quantum Field Theory II
Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.