

# 8.324 Relativistic Quantum Field Theory II

MIT OpenCourseWare Lecture Notes

Hong Liu, Fall 2010

## Lecture 24

Let us consider some of the renormalization schemes we discussed in the previous lecture. A particularly convenient renormalization scheme in dimensional regularization is minimal subtraction (MS). In this case, we take  $a = b = c = 0$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{MS}(k^2) &= -\frac{\alpha}{2} \left[ \frac{k^2}{6} + m^2 + \int_0^1 dx D \log \left( \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{e\gamma D} \right) \right], \\ \frac{1}{g} V_{MS}(k_1, k_2, k_3) &= 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int dF_3 \log \left( \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{e\gamma \tilde{D}} \right),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\int dF_3 \equiv \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \int_0^1 dx_3 \delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1)$ ,  $D \equiv x(1-x)k^2 + m^2$  and  $\tilde{D} \equiv m^2 + x_2 x_3 k_1^2 + x_1 x_3 k_2^2 + x_1 x_2 k_3^2$ . The  $k$ -dependence of  $g(\mu)$  and  $m(\mu)$  should be such that physical observables are independent of  $\mu$ . In the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme, we take

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{4\pi^2}{e\gamma} \right), \quad (1)$$

giving

$$\Pi_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \left[ \frac{k^2}{6} + m^2 + \int_0^1 dx D \log \left( \frac{\mu^2}{D} \right) \right]. \quad (2)$$

In the on-shell scheme, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi_B, m_B, g_B) = -\frac{1}{2} Z_\phi^{(on)} (\partial\phi_{phys})^2 - \frac{1}{2} Z_m^{(on)} m_{phys}^2 \phi_{phys}^2 + \frac{g_{phys}}{6} \mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} Z_g^{(on)} \phi_{phys}^3. \quad (3)$$

In the MS scheme, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi_B, m_B, g_B) = -\frac{1}{2} Z_\phi^{(MS)} (\partial\phi_{MS})^2 - \frac{1}{2} Z_m^{(MS)} m_{MS}^2 \phi_{MS}^2 + \frac{g_{MS}}{6} \mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} Z_g^{(MS)} \phi_{MS}^3. \quad (4)$$

Finally, in the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi_B, m_B, g_B) = -\frac{1}{2} Z_\phi^{(\overline{\text{MS}})} (\partial\phi_{\overline{\text{MS}}})^2 - \frac{1}{2} Z_m^{(\overline{\text{MS}})} m_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2 \phi_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2 + \frac{g_{\overline{\text{MS}}}}{6} \mu^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} Z_g^{(\overline{\text{MS}})} \phi_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^3. \quad (5)$$

For the fields, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_B &= \left( Z_\phi^{(on)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{phys} \\ &= \left( Z_\phi^{(MS)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{MS} \\ &= \left( Z_\phi^{(\overline{\text{MS}})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{\overline{\text{MS}}}.\end{aligned}$$

The three field renormalizations here are all divergent, but their ratios are finite. Why do we not just use the on-shell scheme?

1. There are instances where it can't be used, for example, if  $m_{phys} = 0$ .
2. Other schemes can be more convenient in certain settings.

3. More seriously, consider  $|k^2| \gg m^2$ . Then we have

$$D \approx x(1-x)k^2, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\log \frac{D}{D_0} \approx \log \frac{k^2}{m^2} + \dots \quad (7)$$

Hence,

$$\Pi(k^2) \approx \frac{\alpha}{12} k^2 \log \left( \frac{k^2}{m^2} \right), \quad (8)$$

which can be large compared with  $k^2$ , and so perturbation theory is no longer a good approximation. Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{g} V(k_1, k_2, k_3) \approx 1 + \alpha \log \left( \frac{k^2}{m^2} \right), \quad (9)$$

and perturbation theory is not a good approximation for large  $k^2$ . Introducing  $\mu$  allows us to address this problem: if we choose  $\mu \sim k$ , no such logarithm arises.

If we choose  $\mu$  appropriately, that is, to be comparable to the momentum scale of the physical process, we can improve our perturbation expansion. As we will see shortly,

1.  $g(\mu)$  and  $m(\mu)$  can be considered as the counterparts of the scale-dependent coupling constants of the Wilsonian approach.
2. The reason we get large logarithmic terms in the on-shell scheme is that we are trying to use coupling defined at one scale to describe physics at very different scales. We will return to this point later with a physical explanation.

Let us consider the structure of general correlation functions. Having looked at  $\Pi(k^2)$  and  $V(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  at the one-loop level, let us now look at general connected Greens functions in some renormalization scheme, such as the MS scheme:

$$G_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \langle \Omega | T(\phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_n)) | \Omega \rangle, \quad (10)$$

where  $\phi(x)$  is the renormalized field. Then

$$G_n(\{x\}, g(\mu), m(\mu); \mu) = G_n(\{x\}, \lambda_i(\mu); \mu) \quad (11)$$

where  $\lambda_i(\mu)$  are dimensionless coupling corresponding to  $g$  and  $m^2$ , defined with respect to  $\mu$ . For example,  $\lambda_m = \frac{m^2(\mu)}{\mu^2}$ . We consider also

$$G_n^{(B)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \langle \Omega | T(\phi_B(x_1) \dots \phi_B(x_n)) | \Omega \rangle. \quad (12)$$

Then

$$G_n^{(B)}(\{x\}, g_B, m_B; \Lambda_0), \quad (13)$$

where  $\Lambda_0$  is an ultraviolet cut-off, independent of  $\mu$ . Since  $\phi_B = Z_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi$ , we have that

$$G_n^{(B)} = Z_\phi^{\frac{n}{2}} G_n, \quad (14)$$

and so

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} (Z_\phi^{\frac{n}{2}} G_n) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Introducing  $\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \log Z_\phi$ , we have

$$\left( \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} + n\gamma \right) G_n = 0, \quad (16)$$

that is,

$$\left( \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + n\gamma \right) G_n = 0 \quad (17)$$

where  $\beta_i \equiv \mu \frac{d\lambda_i}{d\mu}$ . This is the Callan-Symanzik equation. We note that  $\gamma = \gamma(\{\lambda_i\})$  and  $\beta_i = \beta_i(\{\lambda_i\})$ . From the Callan-Symanzik equation, we can express  $G_n(\{x\}, \lambda_i(\mu'); \mu')$  in terms of  $G_n(\{x\}, \lambda_i(\mu); \mu)$ . First, consider  $\gamma = 0$ . Then we have

$$\frac{d}{d\mu} G_n = 0, \quad (18)$$

and so

$$G_n(\{x\}, \lambda_i(\mu'); \mu') = G_n(\{x\}, \lambda_i(\mu); \mu). \quad (19)$$

These are the running coupling constants we had earlier. In the case  $\gamma \neq 0$ ,  $\gamma$  does not depend on  $\mu$  explicitly, but it does indirectly through the  $\gamma = \gamma(\lambda_j(\mu))$ . In this case, we have

$$G_n(\{x\}, \lambda_i(\mu'); \mu') = \exp \left[ -n \int_{\log \mu}^{\log \mu'} d\xi \gamma(\lambda_j(\xi)) \right] \times G_n(\{x\}, \lambda_i(\mu); \mu). \quad (20)$$

$\gamma$  captures how the definition of  $\phi$  changes as we change  $\mu$ . In the case that there is only one coupling  $g$ , that is, if  $m = 0$ , then since  $\mu \frac{dg}{d\mu} = \beta$ ,

$$d\xi = \frac{dg}{\beta}, \quad (21)$$

$$G_n(\{x\}, \lambda_i(\mu'); \mu') = \exp \left[ -n \int_{\log \mu}^{\log \mu'} \frac{dg'}{\beta(g')} \gamma(g') \right] \times G_n(\{x\}, \lambda_i(\mu); \mu). \quad (22)$$

Let us consider some applications of this:

1. For the high momentum behaviour, consider

$$G_2(p, \lambda(\mu'); \mu') = \eta^{-2}(\mu', \mu) G_2(p, \lambda_i(\mu); \mu) \quad (23)$$

where  $\eta^{-n} \equiv \exp \left[ -n \int_{\log \mu}^{\log \mu'} d\xi \gamma(\lambda_j(\xi)) \right]$ . In particular,

$$G_2(\kappa p, \lambda(\kappa\mu'); \kappa\mu') = \eta^{-2}(\kappa\mu, \mu) G_2(\kappa p, \lambda_i(\mu); \mu). \quad (24)$$

This gives a one-dimensional group,

$$G_2(p, \lambda_i(\mu); \mu) = \frac{1}{p^2} f_2 \left( \frac{p}{\mu}, \lambda_i(\mu) \right) \quad (25)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(\kappa p, \lambda_i(\kappa\mu); \kappa\mu) &= \frac{1}{\kappa^2 p^2} f_2 \left( \frac{p}{\mu}, \lambda_i(\kappa\mu) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa^2} G_2(p, \lambda_i(\kappa\mu); \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we have

$$G_2(\kappa p, \lambda_i(\mu); \mu) = \frac{\eta^2(\kappa\mu, \mu)}{\kappa^2} G_2(p, \lambda_i(\kappa\mu); \mu). \quad (26)$$

2. At a fixed point,  $\beta_i = 0$ , and so  $\lambda_i$  is a constant, so  $\gamma(\{\lambda_i\})$  is also a constant, and

$$\left( \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} + n\gamma \right) G_n(\{x\}) = 0. \quad (27)$$

Consider, for example,  $G_2(x; \mu) = \mu^2 f(\mu x)$ . Then we have that

$$\left( y \frac{d}{dy} + 2\Delta \right) f(y) = 0, \quad (28)$$

where  $\Delta = 2 + \gamma$ . Hence,

$$f(y) = \frac{c}{y^{2\Delta}} \quad (29)$$

and

$$G_2(x; \mu) = \frac{c'}{x^{2\Delta}}. \quad (30)$$

More generally, for

$$G_n(\{x\}; \mu) = \mu^{n\Delta_0} f_n(\{\mu x\}) \quad (31)$$

where  $\Delta_0$  is the canonical dimension, from the Callan-Symanzik equation, we have that  $f_n$  should satisfy

$$f_n(\{\lambda y\}) = \lambda^{-n\Delta} f_n(\{y\}) \quad (32)$$

with  $\Delta = \Delta_0 + \gamma$ .

In summary, we have introduced the renormalization scale  $\mu$ , and  $\lambda_i(\mu)$  are scale-dependent couplings, given by the renormalization group flow. Different choices of  $\mu$  correspond to different descriptions of physical observables. However, the physical observables themselves do not depend on the choice of  $\mu$ .

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

8.324 Relativistic Quantum Field Theory II  
Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.