

8.324 Relativistic Quantum Field Theory II

MIT OpenCourseWare Lecture Notes

Hong Liu, Fall 2010

Lecture 13

We continue our analysis of renormalization in quantum electrodynamics from last lecture.

3.1.4: Charge Renormalization

Consider the vertex corrections:

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{\mu\alpha\beta}(k_1, k_2) &\equiv \int d^4y_1 d^4y_2 e^{ik_1 \cdot y_1 - ik_2 \cdot y_2} \langle 0 | T(A_\mu(0)\psi_\alpha(y_1)\bar{\psi}_\beta(y_2)) | 0 \rangle \\
 &= \text{diagram with a shaded circle vertex} , \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where, again, these are defined in terms of bare quantities. We introduce an effective vertex, Γ , defined by

$$G_{\mu\alpha\beta}(k_1, k_2) = D_{\mu\nu}(k_2 - k_1) S_{\alpha\delta}(k_1) \Gamma_{\delta\lambda}^\nu(k_1, k_2) S_{\lambda\beta}(k_2). \tag{2}$$

In perturbation theory,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(k_1, k_2) &= \text{tree-level vertex} + \text{loop correction} + \dots \\
 &= -ie_B \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \dots \tag{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that only 1PI diagrams contribute, by the definition. We will now show that gauge invariance, in the form of the Ward identities, puts important constraints on the structure of $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu$. Acting on the generating functional for connected diagrams, and setting $J_\mu = \eta = \bar{\eta} = 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \partial^2 \partial^\mu \left. \frac{\delta^3 W}{\delta J_\mu(x) \delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(y_1) \delta \eta_\beta(y_2)} \right|_{J=\eta=\bar{\eta}=0} = ie_B \left[\delta^{(4)}(x - y_1) \frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x) \delta \eta_\beta(y_2)} - \delta^{(4)}(x - y_2) \frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(y_1) \delta \eta_\beta(x)} \right]_{J=\eta=\bar{\eta}=0}, \tag{4}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \partial^2 \partial^\mu \langle 0 | T(A_\mu(0)\psi_\alpha(y_1)\bar{\psi}_\beta(y_2)) | 0 \rangle = e_B \left[\delta^{(4)}(x - y_1) \langle 0 | T(\psi_\alpha(x)\bar{\psi}_\beta(y_2)) | 0 \rangle - \delta^{(4)}(x - y_2) \langle 0 | T(\psi_\alpha(y_1)\bar{\psi}_\beta(x)) | 0 \rangle \right]. \tag{5}$$

Changing basis to momentum space, we have $\partial^\mu \rightarrow iq^\mu$, where $q^\mu \equiv (k_2 - k_1)^\mu$. We can set $x = 0$ by applying $\int d^4y_1 d^4y_2 e^{ik_1 \cdot y_1 - ik_2 \cdot y_2}$ on both sides, giving

$$-\frac{i}{\xi} q^2 q^\mu D_{\mu\nu}(q) S_{\alpha\delta}(k_1) \Gamma_{\delta\lambda}^\nu(k_1, k_2) S_{\lambda\beta}(k_2) = e_B [S_{\alpha\beta}(k_2) - S_{\alpha\beta}(k_1)]. \tag{6}$$

In the last lecture, we showed $\frac{1}{\xi} \partial^2 \partial^\mu D_{\mu\nu} = -k_\nu$. And so, the result, when written in terms of matrices in spinor space, reduces to

$$-S(k_1)(q_\nu \Gamma^\nu)S(k_2) = e_B (S(k_2) - S(k_1)), \tag{7}$$

or, equivalently,

$$q_\nu \Gamma^\nu(k_1, k_2) = e_B (S^{-1}(k_2) - S^{-1}(k_1)), \tag{8}$$

where $q \equiv k_2 - k_1$. This is an important constraint. To see the implications, we consider $k_1 = k$, k on-shell and $q \rightarrow 0$, meaning k_2 is also close to on-shell. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^{-1}(k_1) &\approx -\frac{1}{Z_2} (i\not{k}_1 + m - i\epsilon) + \dots \\
 S^{-1}(k_2) &\approx -\frac{1}{Z_2} (i\not{k}_2 + m - i\epsilon) + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

where m here is the physical mass. We then have

$$q_\nu \Gamma^\nu(k, k) = -\frac{e_B}{Z_2} i \not{k}, \quad (9)$$

or

$$\Gamma^\nu(k, k) = -\frac{ie_B}{Z_2} \gamma^\nu \quad (10)$$

when k is on shell. The physical charge we measure should be

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{phys}^\mu(k, k) &= \text{Diagram: a shaded circle with a wavy line labeled } \mu \text{ entering from the left and two arrows labeled } k \text{ exiting from the top-right and bottom-right.} \\ &\equiv -ie_{phys} \gamma^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where k is on-shell and we are using the physical fields. In other words, consider

$$G_\mu^{(phys)}(k_1, k_2) = \int d^4y_1 d^4y_2 e^{ik_1 \cdot y_1 - ik_2 \cdot y_2} \langle 0 | T(A_\mu(0) \psi_\alpha(y_1) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y_2)) | 0 \rangle \quad (12)$$

where the fields are now the physical fields, rather than the bare fields. Then

$$G_\mu^{(phys)}(k_1, k_2) = D_{\mu\nu}(q) S(k_1) \Gamma_{phys}^\nu(k_1, k_2) S(k_2) \quad (13)$$

where $D_{\mu\nu}$ and S are again here for the physical fields. Since

$$A_\mu^B = \sqrt{Z_3} A_\mu, \quad D_{\mu\nu}^B = Z_3 D_{\mu\nu}, \quad \psi^B = \sqrt{Z_2} \psi, \quad S^B = Z_2 S, \quad (14)$$

we have that

$$G_\mu^B = \sqrt{Z_3} (\sqrt{Z_2})^2 G_\mu^{(phys)}, \quad (15)$$

where $G^B \equiv D^B S^B \Gamma^B S^B$ and $G^{(phys)} = D S \Gamma^{(phys)} S$. From this, we have that

$$\Gamma^\nu(k, k) = \sqrt{Z_3} Z_2 \Gamma_B^\nu(k, k) \quad (16)$$

and so

$$e = \sqrt{Z_3} e_B. \quad (17)$$

The dependence of e on Z_2 cancels precisely as a result of $\Gamma_B \propto \frac{e_B}{Z_2}$. That $\frac{e}{e_B} = \sqrt{Z_3}$ only depends on Z_3 , the field strength renormalization of the photon, has important implications: the ratio is universal for all charged fields. Suppose that $e_B^{proton} = e_B^{electron}$. Then it is necessarily true that $e^{proton} = e^{electron}$, despite the proton and electron interacting very differently and having different masses. If $\frac{e}{e_B}$ depended on Z_2 , for example, then we would have an extremely difficult time in explaining why $e^{proton} = e^{electron}$, as their respective values of Z_2 are very different. Finally, in terms of renormalized quantities:

$$q_\nu \Gamma^\nu(k_1, k_2) = e [S^{-1}(k_2) - S^{-1}(k_1)]. \quad (18)$$

We note additionally that for k_1 and k_2 on-shell, but $k_1 \neq k_2$,

$$q_\nu \Gamma^\nu(k_1, k_2) = 0. \quad (19)$$

This is an example of a large class of identities. These are known as the general Ward identities. These identities are obtained by acting on the generating functional for connected diagrams with

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\nu_1}(z_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{\nu_2}(z_2)} \cdots \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}(y_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}(y_2)} \cdots \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(x_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta(x_2)} \cdots, \quad (20)$$

and then setting $J_\mu = \bar{\eta} = \eta = 0$. The resulting expression is most transparently written diagrammatically in momentum space:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Diagram: a shaded circle with } n \text{ external lines labeled } p_1, \dots, p_r, q_1, \dots, q_n \text{ and } k_\mu \text{ entering from the top.} \\ &= e_B \sum_i \left[\left(\text{Diagram: shaded circle with } n \text{ external lines } p_1, \dots, p_r, q_1, \dots, q_n \text{ and } q_i - k \text{ entering from the top.} \right) - \left(\text{Diagram: shaded circle with } n \text{ external lines } p_1, \dots, p_r, q_1, \dots, q_n \text{ and } p_i + k \text{ entering from the top.} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where each external line should be considered as an exact photon or fermion propagator, except that associated with k_μ on the left-hand side, which should be amputated.

Remarks:

1. Suppose we attach to Γ^μ a photon propagator at the q^ν . If all the external propagators are on-shell, the longitudinal part of the propagator does not contribute as the inner product of this with q^ν is zero. This enforces gauge invariance.
2. Suppose we attach to Γ^μ an external photon line, that is, $\epsilon_\mu \Gamma^\mu(k, \dots)$. This is invariant under $\epsilon_\mu \rightarrow \epsilon_\mu + k_\mu$, which is a gauge transformation $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda$.
3. Only charged particles need to be on-shell. Other photon lines or any other neutral particles (if they exist) can be off-shell, since they they do not transform under gauge transformations.
4. For Ward identities to be valid, regularization should preserve gauge invariance.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

8.324 Relativistic Quantum Field Theory II
Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.