

## 18 The CM action

Let  $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a lattice and  $E_L/\mathbb{C}$  the corresponding elliptic curve  $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(L)x - g_3(L)$ . In the previous lecture we proved that the endomorphism rings  $\text{End}(E_L)$  and  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}/L)$  are both isomorphic to the ring

$$\mathcal{O}(L) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha L \subseteq L\},$$

which is either equal to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , or an order  $\mathcal{O}$  in an imaginary quadratic field. We then considered the following question: given an order  $\mathcal{O}$  in an imaginary quadratic field, for which lattices  $L$  do we have  $\mathcal{O}(L) = \mathcal{O}$ . By the Uniformization Theorem (Corollary 16.12), this is equivalent to asking which elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{C}$  have *complex multiplication* (CM) by  $\mathcal{O}$ ; recall that this means  $\text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O}$ .

We established the necessary condition that  $L$  must be homothetic to an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal, and defined *proper*  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals to be the  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals  $L$  for which  $\mathcal{O}(L) = \mathcal{O}$ .<sup>1</sup> So, by construction,  $\mathcal{O}(L) = \mathcal{O}$  if and only if  $L$  is homothetic to a proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal; in this lecture we will give a more intrinsic condition for an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal to be proper. We defined the ideal class group  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  as the set of proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals modulo the equivalence relation

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{b} \iff \gamma \mathfrak{a} = \delta \mathfrak{b} \text{ for some nonzero } \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{O},$$

which holds precisely when  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are homothetic as lattices. It follows that there is a one-to-one relationship between  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  and the set of homothety classes of lattices  $L$  for which  $\mathcal{O}(L) = \mathcal{O}$ , equivalently, the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{C}$  for which  $\text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O}$ .

Recalling that isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over an algebraically closed field are uniquely identified by their  $j$ -invariants, we now define the set

$$\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}) = \{j(E) : E \text{ is defined over } \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O}\}.$$

It follows from our discussion above that there is a bijection from  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  to  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  that sends the equivalence class  $[\mathfrak{a}]$  of a proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  to the isomorphism class  $j(E_{\mathfrak{a}}) = j(\mathfrak{a})$ ; the reverse map is given by the Uniformization theorem, which tells us that we can construct a lattice  $L$  for which  $j(L) = j(E)$ , and this lattice  $L$  is then homothetic to a proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  that has the same  $j$ -invariant  $j(\mathfrak{a}) = j(E)$  when viewed as a lattice.

As you will prove in Problem Set 9,  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  is a finite group; thus the set  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  is finite. Its cardinality is the *class number*  $h(\mathcal{O}) = |\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})|$ , which we may also write as  $h(D)$ , where  $D = \text{disc}(\mathcal{O})$ . Remarkably, not only are the sets  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  and  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  in bijection, the set  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  admits a group action by  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ . In order to define this action, and to gain a better understanding of what it means for an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal to be proper, we first introduce the notion of a fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal.

<sup>1</sup>The term “proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal” is an unfortunate historical choice, since this terminology can also refer to  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals that are properly contained in  $\mathcal{O}$ . In this lecture we will prove that  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals are proper if and only if they are invertible and henceforth use the term “invertible  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal” instead.

## 18.1 Fractional ideals

**Definition 18.1.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an integral domain with fraction field  $K$ . Any set of the form  $\mathfrak{b} = \lambda\mathfrak{a}$  with  $\lambda \in K^\times$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal is called a *fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal*. Multiplication of fractional ideals  $\mathfrak{b} = \lambda\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}' = \lambda'\mathfrak{a}'$  is defined in the obvious way:

$$\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}' := (\lambda\lambda')\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}',$$

where  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}'$  is the product of the  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}'$ .<sup>2</sup>

Like  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals, fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals are  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules (additive groups that admit a scalar multiplication by  $\mathcal{O}$ ).<sup>3</sup> Fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals that happen to lie in  $\mathcal{O}$  are thus  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals (such fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals are sometimes called *integral  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals* to emphasize this); conversely, every  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal is a fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal. If  $\mathfrak{b} = \lambda\mathfrak{a}$  is a fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal we can always write  $\lambda = \frac{a}{b}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$  with  $b \neq 0$ , and after replacing  $\mathfrak{a}$  with  $a\mathfrak{a}$  we can write  $\mathfrak{b} = \frac{1}{b}\mathfrak{a}$  with  $b \in \mathcal{O}$  nonzero and  $\mathfrak{a}$  an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal. In our setting, where  $\mathcal{O}$  is an order in an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  (which must be its fraction field since it is the smallest field containing  $\mathcal{O}$ ), we can even make  $b$  a positive integer by rationalizing the denominator and noting that  $\mathfrak{a} = -1 \cdot \mathfrak{a}$  for any  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

## 18.2 Norms

We now let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an order in an imaginary quadratic field  $K$ . We want to define the norm of fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{b} = \lambda\mathfrak{a}$ , which will be a rational number that is the product of the norms of  $\lambda$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$ , but first we need to define the norm of a field element  $\lambda \in K^\times$ , and the norm of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

**Definition 18.2.** Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a number field and let  $\alpha \in K^\times$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be the roots of the minimal polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (which may lie in an extension of  $K$ ), and let  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)]$ . The (field) *norm* and *trace* of  $\alpha$  are defined by

$$N\alpha := \prod_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^n \in \mathbb{Q}^\times \quad \text{and} \quad T\alpha := \sum_{i=1}^m n\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Note that  $N\alpha$  is a power of the constant term of the monic polynomial  $f$ , and  $T\alpha$  is a multiple of the negation of the degree  $m - 1$  coefficient of  $f$ ; this makes it clear that both  $N\alpha$  and  $T\alpha$  lie in  $\mathbb{Q}$  (and in  $\mathbb{Z}$  if  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer). Note that  $N\alpha$  is nonzero because the constant term of  $f$  cannot be nonzero (otherwise  $f$  would not be minimal).

When  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is a Galois extension we can simply take the product and sum over all  $mn$  *Galois conjugates*  $\sigma(\alpha)$  for  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ . This makes it clear that in this case the norm map is multiplicative. In fact this holds for any number field  $K$ ; this follows from the proof of Lemma 18.4 below, which relates  $N\alpha$  to the determinant of the multiplication-by- $\alpha$  map, which can be viewed as a linear transformation of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $K$ .

Note that  $N\alpha$  depends on  $K$ , not just  $\alpha$ ; for example, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$  then  $N\alpha = \alpha^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$ , which will vary if we fix  $\alpha$  and change  $K$ . It should really be viewed as a homomorphism

$$N: K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times$$

<sup>2</sup>One can also add fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals via  $\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{b}' := \{b + b' : b \in \mathfrak{b}, b' \in \mathfrak{b}'\}$ , but we won't need this.

<sup>3</sup>Some authors define fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals to be finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules that are contained in  $K$ . Every finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}$ -module in  $K$  is a fractional ideal under our definition, and when  $\mathcal{O}$  is noetherian (which applies to orders in number fields, the only case we care about), the definitions are equivalent.

and is often written as  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$  to emphasize this. Definition 18.2 generalizes to any finite extension  $K/k$  (just replace  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $k$ ), and is then denoted  $N_{K/k}$  and defines a homomorphism  $K^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ .

When  $K \simeq \text{End}^0(E)$  is an imaginary quadratic field, Definition 18.2 coincides with our definition of the (reduced) norm and trace of  $\alpha$  as an element of  $\text{End}^0(E)$  (see Definition 13.6). If  $K$  is an imaginary quadratic field embedded in  $\mathbb{C}$ , this is equivalent to taking  $N\alpha = \alpha\bar{\alpha}$  and  $T\alpha = \alpha + \bar{\alpha}$ , where  $\bar{\alpha}$  denotes complex conjugation (equivalently, conjugation by the non-trivial element of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ ). Thus in this setting the complex conjugate

$$\bar{\alpha} = T\alpha - \alpha = \hat{\alpha}$$

corresponds to the dual of  $\alpha \in \text{End}^0(E) = K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 18.3.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an order in a number field  $K$  and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal. The (ideal) *norm* of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is

$$N\mathfrak{a} := [\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}] = \#\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Alternatively, if we fix  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bases for  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  we have

$$N\mathfrak{a} = |\det M_{\mathfrak{a}}|$$

where  $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$  is an integer matrix whose rows express the basis elements of  $\mathfrak{a}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of basis elements of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Note that  $|\det M_{\mathfrak{a}}|$  is independent of the choice of basis, and it is nonzero because  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  are both free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules of rank  $r = \dim K$  (which also makes it clear why  $[\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}]$  is actually finite).<sup>4</sup> That these two definitions are equivalent follows from the fact that we can diagonalize  $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$  using row and column operations that do not change  $|\det M_{\mathfrak{a}}|$  (each corresponding to a change of basis for  $\mathcal{O}$  or  $\mathfrak{a}$ ).<sup>5</sup> It is then clear that we have  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_r\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $d_1, \dots, d_r$  are the diagonal entries of this matrix, and we then have  $|d_1 \cdots d_r| = |\det M_{\mathfrak{a}}|$ . We can also interpret  $N\mathfrak{a}$  as the ratio of the volumes of fundamental parallelograms for  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$ , which we may view as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices embedded in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $K \simeq \mathbb{Q}^r$  (with the Euclidean metric).

We now relate the norm of a nonzero element of  $\mathcal{O}$  to the norm of the principal ideal it generates.

**Lemma 18.4.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a nonzero element of an order  $\mathcal{O}$  in a number field  $K$ . Then*

$$N(\alpha) = |N\alpha|,$$

where  $(\alpha)$  denotes the principal  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal generated by  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the maximal order in  $K$ . Note that  $N(\alpha) = [\mathcal{O} : \alpha\mathcal{O}] = [\mathcal{O}_K : \alpha\mathcal{O}_K]$  is the same as the norm of the principal  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -ideal generated by  $\alpha$ , so without loss of generality we assume  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq K$ , and let us fix a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{O}_K$  that contains a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{O}_L$ ; this is possible because  $\mathcal{O}_L$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $m = [L : \mathbb{Q}]$  that is contained in the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of rank  $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Note that  $m|r$ , since  $K$  is an  $L$ -vector space of dimension  $n = [K : L]$ . Moreover, we may order our basis into  $n$  blocks

<sup>4</sup>That  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module follows from the fact that it is a submodule of the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal ideal domain (submodules of free module over PIDs are always free, but this is *not true* of more general rings). That  $\mathfrak{a}$  has the same rank as  $\mathcal{O}$  follows from the fact that it contains a nonzero integer (for example, the norm of any of its elements) and therefore an integer multiple of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

<sup>5</sup>This amounts to putting  $M_{\mathfrak{a}}$  in *Smith normal form*.

of size  $m$ , each of which is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for an  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $K$  isomorphic to  $L$ . Let us now consider the  $r \times r$  matrix  $M_{(\alpha)}$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear transformation given by the multiplication-by- $\alpha$  map  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  with respect to this basis. Assuming we order our basis appropriately, the matrix  $M_{(\alpha)}$  is then a block diagonal matrix consisting of  $n$  square  $m \times m$  matrices along the diagonal, all of which are conjugate. We then have

$$N(\alpha) = |\det M_{(\alpha)}|.$$

On the other hand, the characteristic polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of  $M_{(\alpha)}$  is the  $n$ th power of the minimal polynomial  $f$  of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (which lies in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  because  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer), and  $N\alpha$  is the constant coefficient of  $g$ , which has the same absolute value as  $\det M_{(\alpha)}$ .

To see this, note that if  $B$  is the first block diagonal matrix of  $M$ , representing the multiplication by  $\alpha$  map on  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , then  $f$  is the minimal polynomial of  $B$ , since it is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , and it has degree  $m$  so it is the characteristic polynomial of  $B$ . The  $n$  block diagonal matrices of  $M$  are all conjugate, hence they all have the same characteristic polynomial, and therefore  $g = f^n$ .  $\square$

**Warning 18.5.** Given that the field norm is multiplicative and that we can view the ideal norm as the absolute value of a determinant, it would be reasonable to expect the ideal norm to be multiplicative. **This is not true.** As an example, consider the ideal  $\mathfrak{a} = [2, 2i]$  in the order  $\mathcal{O} = [1, 2i]$ , which has norm  $N\mathfrak{a} = [\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}] = 2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}^2 = [4, 4i]$  and

$$N\mathfrak{a}^2 = 8 \neq 2^2 = (N\mathfrak{a})^2.$$

However, as we shall see (at least when  $\mathcal{O}$  is an order in an imaginary quadratic field), the ideal norm is multiplicative when  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are both proper/invertible  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals, hence in all cases when  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K$  is the maximal order. In any case we always have the following corollary of Lemma 18.4.

**Corollary 18.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an order in a number field, let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$  be nonzero, and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal. Then*

$$N(\alpha\mathfrak{a}) = N\alpha N\mathfrak{a}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$N(\alpha\mathfrak{a}) = [\mathcal{O} : \alpha\mathfrak{a}] = [\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}][\mathfrak{a} : \alpha\mathfrak{a}] = [\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}][\mathcal{O} : \alpha\mathcal{O}] = N\alpha N(\mathfrak{a}) = N\alpha N\mathfrak{a} \quad \square$$

The corollary implies that  $N(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = N\mathfrak{a}N\mathfrak{b}$  whenever one of  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  is principal. This allows us to make the following definition.

**Definition 18.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{b} = \lambda\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero fractional ideal in an order  $\mathcal{O}$  of a number field. The *norm* of  $\mathfrak{b}$  is

$$N\mathfrak{b} := N\lambda N\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times.$$

Corollary 18.6 ensures that this is well defined: if  $\lambda\mathfrak{a} = \lambda'\mathfrak{a}'$ , after writing  $\lambda = a/b$  and  $\lambda' = a'/b'$  we have  $ab'\mathfrak{a} = a'ba'$  and therefore

$$N\mathfrak{a}' = \frac{NaNb'}{Na'Nb}N\mathfrak{a} = \frac{N\lambda}{N\lambda'}N\mathfrak{a},$$

so  $N\lambda'N\mathfrak{a}' = N\lambda N\mathfrak{a}$ .

Taking  $\lambda = 1$  or  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}$ , we can view this as a generalization of Definitions 18.2 and 18.3.

### 18.3 Invertible ideals

We now return to our original setting, where  $\mathcal{O}$  is an order in an imaginary quadratic field. Extending our terminology for  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals, for any fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  we define

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{b}) := \{\alpha : \alpha\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}\},$$

and say that  $\mathfrak{b}$  is *proper* if  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathcal{O}$ . We say that a fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  is *invertible* if there exists a fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{b}^{-1}$  for which  $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}$ . Notice that this definition applies in the case that  $\mathfrak{b}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal, but then  $\mathfrak{b}^{-1}$  will not be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal (unless  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathcal{O}$ ). As we shall see, the notions of properness and invertibility coincide, but let us first note that for  $\mathfrak{b} = \lambda\mathfrak{a}$ , whether  $\mathfrak{b}$  is proper or invertible depends only on the  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

**Lemma 18.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an order in an imaginary quadratic field, let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal, and let  $\mathfrak{b} = \lambda\mathfrak{a}$  be a fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal. Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is proper if and only if  $\mathfrak{b}$  is proper, and  $\mathfrak{a}$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathfrak{b}$  is invertible.*

*Proof.* For the first statement, note that  $\{\alpha : \alpha\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}\} = \{\alpha : \alpha\lambda\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \lambda\mathfrak{a}\} = \{\alpha : \alpha\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\}$ . For the second, if  $\mathfrak{a}$  is invertible then  $\mathfrak{b}^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$ , and if  $\mathfrak{b}$  is invertible then  $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \lambda\mathfrak{b}^{-1}$ , since we have  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \mathfrak{a}\lambda\mathfrak{b}^{-1} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}$ .  $\square$

We now prove that the invertible  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals are precisely the proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals and give an explicit formula for the inverse when it exists. Our proof follows the presentation in [1, §7].

**Theorem 18.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an order in an imaginary quadratic field and let  $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha, \beta]$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal. Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is proper if and only if  $\mathfrak{a}$  is invertible. Whenever  $\mathfrak{a}$  is invertible we have  $\mathfrak{a}\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = (\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})$ , where  $\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = [\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}]$  and  $(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})$  is the principal  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal generated by the integer  $\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}$ ; the inverse of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is then the fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}}\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ .*

*Proof.* We first assume that  $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha, \beta]$  is a proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal and show that  $\mathfrak{a}\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = (\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})$ , which implies  $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}}\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Let  $\tau = \beta/\alpha$ , so that  $\mathfrak{a} = \alpha[1, \tau]$ , and let  $ax^2 + bx + c$  be the least multiple of the minimal polynomial of  $\tau$  that lies in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ . The fractional ideal  $[1, \tau]$  is homothetic to  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and we have  $\mathcal{O}([1, \tau]) = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{O}$ , since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is proper.

Let  $\omega = [1, \omega]$ . Then  $\omega \in [1, \tau]$  and  $\omega = m + n\tau$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; after replacing  $\omega$  with  $\omega - m$ , we may assume  $\omega = n\tau$ . We also have  $\omega\tau \in [1, \tau]$ , so  $n\tau^2 \in [1, \tau]$ , which implies that  $a|n$ , since otherwise the polynomial  $ax^2 + bx + c$  would have a leading coefficient smaller than  $a$  in absolute value. And  $a\tau[1, \tau] \subseteq [1, \tau]$ , so  $a\tau \in \mathcal{O}([1, \tau]) = \mathcal{O}$ , therefore  $n = a$  and  $\mathcal{O} = [1, a\tau]$ . Thus

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{a}) = [\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}] = [[1, a\tau] : \alpha[1, \tau]] = \frac{1}{\alpha} [[1, a\tau] : \alpha[1, a\tau]] = \frac{1}{\alpha} [\mathcal{O} : \alpha\mathcal{O}] = \frac{\mathbf{N}(\alpha)}{\alpha}.$$

We also have

$$\mathfrak{a}\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = [\alpha, \beta][\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}] = \alpha\bar{\alpha}[1, \tau][1, \bar{\tau}] = \mathbf{N}(\alpha)[1, \tau, \bar{\tau}, \tau\bar{\tau}].$$

Since  $a\tau^2 + b\tau + c = 0$ , we have  $\tau + \bar{\tau} = -b/a$ , and  $\tau\bar{\tau} = c/a$ , with  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ . So

$$\mathfrak{a}\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbf{N}(\alpha)[1, \tau, \bar{\tau}, \tau\bar{\tau}] = \frac{\mathbf{N}(\alpha)}{a}[a, a\tau, -b, c] = \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a}[1, a\tau] = (\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})\mathcal{O} = (\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{a})$$

as claimed. Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{a}$  is invertible, then for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\gamma\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \implies \gamma\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \implies \gamma\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \implies \gamma \in \mathcal{O},$$

so  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal, since we always have  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{a})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 18.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an order in an imaginary quadratic field and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  be invertible fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals. Then  $N(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = N\mathfrak{a}N\mathfrak{b}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{a} = \alpha\mathfrak{a}'$  and  $\mathfrak{b} = \beta\mathfrak{b}'$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in K^\times$  and  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals  $\mathfrak{a}'$  and  $\mathfrak{b}'$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}'$  and  $\mathfrak{b}'$  are invertible, by Lemma 18.8. By definition,  $N\mathfrak{a} = N\alpha N\mathfrak{a}'$  and  $N\mathfrak{b} = N\beta N\mathfrak{b}'$ , and the field norm is multiplicative, so  $N(\alpha\beta) = N\alpha N\beta$ . Thus it suffices to consider the case where  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}'$  and  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}'$  are invertible  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals. We then have

$$(N(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})) = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}\overline{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}\overline{\mathfrak{a}}\overline{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{a}\overline{\mathfrak{a}}\mathfrak{b}\overline{\mathfrak{b}} = (N\mathfrak{a})(N\mathfrak{b}),$$

and it follows that  $N(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = N\mathfrak{a}N\mathfrak{b}$ .  $\square$

## 18.4 The CM action

Now let  $E/\mathbb{C}$  be an elliptic curve with  $\text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O}$ . Then  $E$  is isomorphic to  $E_{\mathfrak{b}}$ , for some proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$ . For any proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  we define the action of  $\mathfrak{a}$  on  $E_{\mathfrak{b}}$  via

$$\mathfrak{a}E_{\mathfrak{b}} = E_{\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}} \quad (1)$$

(the reason for using  $E_{\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}}$  rather than  $E_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}$  will become clear later). The action of the equivalence class  $[\mathfrak{a}]$  on the isomorphism class  $j(E_{\mathfrak{b}})$ , is then defined by

$$[\mathfrak{a}]j(E_{\mathfrak{b}}) = j(E_{\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}}), \quad (2)$$

which we can also write as

$$[\mathfrak{a}]j(\mathfrak{b}) = j(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}),$$

and it is clear that this does not depend on the choice of representatives  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a nonzero principal  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal, then the lattices  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}$  are homothetic, and we have  $\mathfrak{a}E_{\mathfrak{b}} \simeq E_{\mathfrak{b}}$ . Thus the identity element of  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  acts trivially on  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$ . For any proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}$  we have

$$\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{b}E_{\mathfrak{c}}) = \mathfrak{a}E_{\mathfrak{b}^{-1}\mathfrak{c}} = E_{\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}^{-1}\mathfrak{c}} = E_{(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a})^{-1}\mathfrak{c}} = (\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a})E_{\mathfrak{c}} = (\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})E_{\mathfrak{c}}.$$

Thus we have a group action of  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  on  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$ .

For any proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$ , we have  $[\mathfrak{a}]j(\mathfrak{b}) = j(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}) = j(\mathfrak{b})$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{b}$  is homothetic to  $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}$ , by Theorem 16.5, and in this case we have  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \lambda\mathfrak{b}$  for some nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}$ , and then  $\mathfrak{a} = \lambda\mathcal{O} = (\lambda)$  is principal. Thus the only element of  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  that fixes *any* element of  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  is the identity. This implies that the action of  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  is not only faithful, it is *free*: only the identity has a fixed point. The fact that the sets  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  and  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  have the same cardinality implies that the action must be transitive: if we fix any  $j_0 \in \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  the images  $[\mathfrak{a}]j_0$  of  $j_0$  under the action of each  $[\mathfrak{a}] \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  must all be distinct, otherwise the action would not be free; there are only  $\#\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}) = \#\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  possibilities, so the  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit of  $j_0$  is  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$ .

A group action that is both free and transitive is said to be *regular*. Equivalently, the action of a group  $G$  on a set  $X$  is regular if and only if for all  $x, y \in X$  there is a unique  $g \in G$  for which  $gx = y$ . In this situation the set  $X$  is said to be a *principal homogeneous space* for  $G$ , or simply a  *$G$ -torsor*. With this terminology, the set  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  is a  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ -torsor.

If we fix a particular element  $x$  of a  $G$ -torsor  $X$ , we can then view  $X$  as a group that is isomorphic to  $G$  under the map that sends  $y \in X$  to the unique element  $g \in G$  for which  $gx = y$ . Note that this involves an arbitrary choice of the identity element  $x$ ; rather

than thinking of elements of  $X$  as group elements, it is more appropriate to think of the “difference” or “ratios” of elements of  $X$  as group elements. In the case of the  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ -torsor  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  there is an obvious choice for the identity element: the isomorphism class  $j(E_{\mathcal{O}})$ . But when we reduce to a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and work with the  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ -torsor  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , as we shall soon do, we cannot readily distinguish the element of  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  that corresponds to  $j(E_{\mathcal{O}})$ .

## 18.5 Isogenies over the complex numbers

To better understand the  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ -action on  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  we need to look at isogenies between elliptic curves over the complex numbers. Let  $L \subseteq L'$  be lattices, and let  $E$  and  $E'$  be the elliptic curves corresponding to  $\mathbb{C}/L$  and  $\mathbb{C}/L'$ , respectively. The map  $\iota: \mathbb{C}/L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/L'$  that lifts  $z \in \mathbb{C}/L$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  and then reduces it modulo  $L'$  induces an isogeny  $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$  that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}/L & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{C}/L' \\ \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \Phi' \\ E(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E'(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

Note that  $L'$  contains  $L$  as a sublattice, so this is well-defined: equivalence modulo  $L'$  implies equivalence modulo  $L$  (but not vice versa). The isomorphism  $\Phi$  sends  $z \in \mathbb{C}/L$  to the point  $(\wp(z; L), \wp'(z; L))$  on  $E$ , and the isomorphism  $\Phi'$  sends  $z \in \mathbb{C}/L'$  to the point  $(\wp(z; L'), \wp'(z; L'))$  on  $E'$ .

It is clear that the induced map  $\phi := \Phi' \circ \iota \circ \Phi^{-1}$  is a group homomorphism; to show that it is an isogeny we need to check that it is also a rational map. To see this, notice that the meromorphic function  $\wp(z; L')$  is periodic with respect to  $L'$ , and therefore also periodic with respect to the sublattice  $L$ . It is thus an elliptic function for  $L$ , and since it is an even function, it may be expressed as a rational function of  $\wp(z; L)$ , by Lemma 17.1. Thus

$$\wp(z; L') = \frac{u(\wp(z; L))}{v(\wp(z; L))}$$

for some polynomials  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Similarly,  $\wp'(z; L')$  is an odd elliptic function for  $L$ , so  $\wp'(z; L')/\wp'(z; L)$  is an even elliptic function for  $L$ , and we therefore have

$$\wp'(z; L') = \frac{s(\wp(z; L))}{t(\wp(z; L))} \wp'(z; L),$$

for some  $s, t \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Thus

$$\phi(x, y) = \left( \frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)} y \right).$$

The points in the kernel of  $\phi$  are precisely the points  $(\wp(z; L), \wp'(z; L))$  for which  $z \in L'$  (modulo  $L$ ). It follows that the kernel of  $\phi$  has cardinality  $[L' : L]$ , and we are in characteristic zero, so the isogeny  $\phi$  is separable and therefore  $\deg \phi = |\ker \phi| = [L' : L]$ .

We now note that the homothetic lattice  $L'' = nL'$  has index  $n$  in  $L'$ , by Lemma 17.15. If we let  $E''/\mathbb{C}$  be the elliptic curve corresponding to  $\mathbb{C}/L''$  (which is isomorphic to  $E'$ ), then the inclusion map  $\iota: \mathbb{C}/L'' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/L'$  induces an isogeny  $\tilde{\phi}: E'' \rightarrow E'$  of degree  $n$ . Composing

$\tilde{\phi}$  with the isomorphism from  $E'$  to  $E''$ , we obtain the dual isogeny  $\hat{\phi}: E' \rightarrow E$ , since the composition  $\phi \circ \hat{\phi}$  is precisely the multiplication-by- $n$  map on  $E'$ .

If  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are invertible  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals then we have an isogeny from  $E_{\mathfrak{b}}$  to  $\mathfrak{a}E_{\mathfrak{b}} = E_{\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}}$  induced by the lattice inclusion  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}$  (to see that this is an inclusion, note that  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ ). Thus there is an isogeny  $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$  associated to the action of  $\mathfrak{a}$  on  $E_{\mathfrak{b}}$  defined in (1). Given any elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{C}$  with endomorphism ring  $\mathcal{O}$  and an invertible  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we define the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion subgroup

$$E[\mathfrak{a}] = \{P \in E(\mathbb{C}) : \alpha P = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathfrak{a}\},$$

where we view  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O} \simeq \text{End}(E)$  as the multiplication-by- $\alpha$  endomorphism.

**Theorem 18.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an imaginary quadratic order, let  $E/\mathbb{C}$  be an elliptic curve with endomorphism ring  $\mathcal{O}$ , let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal, and let  $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$  be the corresponding isogeny from  $E$  to  $\mathfrak{a}E$ . The following hold:*

- (i)  $\ker \phi_{\mathfrak{a}} = E[\mathfrak{a}]$ ;
- (ii)  $\deg \phi_{\mathfrak{a}} = N\mathfrak{a}$ .

*Proof.* By composing  $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}}$  with an isomorphism if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality we assume  $E = E_{\mathfrak{b}}$  for some proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the isomorphism from  $\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{b}}$  that sends  $z$  to  $(\wp(z), \wp'(z))$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}(E[\mathfrak{a}]) &= \{z \in \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{b} : \alpha z = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathfrak{a}\} \\ &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha z \in \mathfrak{b} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathfrak{a}\}/\mathfrak{b} \\ &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}\}/\mathfrak{b} \\ &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}\}/\mathfrak{b} \\ &= (\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} \\ &= \ker \left( \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z} \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b} \right) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(\ker \phi_{\mathfrak{a}}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i). We then note that

$$\#E[\mathfrak{a}] = \#(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b})/\mathfrak{b} = [\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b} : \mathfrak{b}] = [\mathfrak{b} : \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}] = [\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}] = [\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}] = N\mathfrak{a},$$

which proves (ii). □

## 18.6 The Hilbert class polynomial

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an order of discriminant  $D$  in an imaginary quadratic field  $K$ . The first main theorem of complex multiplication states that the elements of  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  are algebraic integers that all have the same minimal polynomial over  $K$ :

$$H_D(X) = \prod_{j(E) \in \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})} (X - j(E))$$

known as the *Hilbert class polynomial* (of discriminant  $D$ ).<sup>6</sup> Remarkably, not only do the coefficients of  $H_D(X)$  lie in  $K$ , they actually lie in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, the theorem states that

---

<sup>6</sup>Some authors reserve the term Hilbert class polynomial for the case  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K$  and call  $H_D(X)$  a *ring class polynomial* in general.

the splitting field  $L$  of  $H_D(X)$  over  $K$  has Galois group isomorphic to  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ . The roots of  $H_D(X)$  are precisely the elements of  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$ , and the action of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is precisely the  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ -action on  $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})$  defined above.

The first main theorem of complex multiplication is one of the central results of what is known as *class field theory*. We will prove it over the course of the next two lectures.

## References

- [1] David A. Cox, *Primes of the form  $x^2 + ny^2$ : Fermat, class field theory, and complex multiplication*, second edition, Wiley, 2013.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.783 Elliptic Curves  
Spring 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.