

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.727 Topics in Algebraic Geometry: Algebraic Surfaces  
Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

## ALGEBRAIC SURFACES, LECTURE 18

LECTURES: ABHINAV KUMAR

Let  $X$  be as from last time, i.e. equipped with maps  $f : X \rightarrow B, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Assume  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2, 3$  and let  $S = \{c \in \mathbb{P}^1 \mid F_c \text{ is multiple}\}$ . If  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S, f_c : F'_c \rightarrow B$  is an étale morphism. Then we have the map  $f_c^* : \text{Pic}^0(B) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(F'_c)$ , and  $\text{Pic}^0(F'_c)$  acts canonically on  $F'_c$ . Thus, we get an action  $B \times F'_c \rightarrow F'_c$  for each  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$ , and thus actions

$$(1) \quad \sigma_0 : B \times g^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times S) \rightarrow g^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S), \sigma : B \times X \rightarrow X$$

Explicitly, if  $b \in B, x \in F'_c \subset X$  with  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$ , then  $b \cdot X = y$ , where  $f^* \mathcal{O}_B(b - b_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{F'_c}(s) = \mathcal{O}_{F'_c}(y)$ . Here  $b_0$  is a fixed base point on  $B$ , which acts as the zero element of the elliptic curve  $B$ . Apply the norm  $N_{F'_c/B}$  to get  $\mathcal{O}_B(nb - nb_0 + f(x)) - \mathcal{O}_B(f(y))$  where  $n = \deg f_c = F_b \cdot F'_c$ . We thus obtain commutative diagrams

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{b} & X \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ B & \xrightarrow{t_{nb}} & B \end{array}$$

(where  $t_{nb}$  is translation by  $nb$ ) and

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \times X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X \\ \text{id}_B \times f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ B \times B & \xrightarrow{(b,b') \mapsto nb+b'} & B \end{array}$$

Let  $B_0 = F_{b_0}$  and  $A_n = \text{Ker } n_B : B \rightarrow B$  a group subscheme of  $B$ . We see that the fibers of  $f$  are invariant under the action of  $A_n$  on  $X$ . In particular,  $A_n$  acts on  $B_0$ . Denote this by  $\alpha : A_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B_0)$ , where  $\text{Aut}(B_0)$  is the group scheme of automorphisms of  $B_0$ . The action of  $B$  on  $X$  gives  $\tau : B \times B_0 \rightarrow X$ , which

completes the diagram

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \times B_0 & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X \\ & \searrow n_B \circ \text{pr}_1 & \downarrow f \\ & & B \end{array}$$

Note that we can't use  $b_0$  for an arbitrary element of  $B_0$ , since we already used it for a base point of  $B_0$ . So replace it by  $b \in B$  and  $b' \in B_0$ . One can check that  $\tau(b, x) = \tau(b', x') \Leftrightarrow \sigma(b - b', x) = x'$ . Thus,  $X$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $B \times B_0$  by the action of  $A_n$  given by  $a \cdot (b, b') = (b + a, \alpha(a)(b'))$  for  $a \in A_n, b \in B, b' \in B_0$ . We can substitute the curve  $B/\text{Ker}(\alpha)$  for  $B$  to get the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Every hyperelliptic surface  $X$  has the form  $X = B_1 \times B_0/A$ , where  $B_0, B_1$  are elliptic curves,  $A$  is a finite group subscheme of  $B_1$ , and  $A$  acts on the product  $B_1 \times B_0$  by  $a(b, b') = (b + a, \alpha(a)(b'))$  for  $a \in A, b \in B_1, b' \in B_0$ , and  $\alpha : A \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B_0)$  an injective homomorphism. The two elliptic fibrations of  $X$  are given by*

$$(5) \quad f : B_1 \times B_0/A \rightarrow B_1/A = B, g : B_1 \times B_0/A \rightarrow B_0/\alpha(A) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$$

We can classify these, using the structure of a group of automorphisms of an elliptic curve  $\text{Aut}(B_0) = B_0 \rtimes \text{Aut}(B_0, 0)$  (the group of translations and the group of automorphisms fixing 0 respectively). Explicitly, we have that

$$(6) \quad \text{Aut}(B_0, 0) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & j(B_0) \neq 0, 1728 \\ \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & j(B_0) = 1728, \\ \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} & j(B_0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{i.e. } B_0 \cong \{y^2 = x^3 - x\} \\ \text{i.e. } B_0 \cong \{y^2 = x^3 - 1\} \end{array}$$

Now  $\alpha(A)$  can't be a subgroup of translations, else  $B_0/\alpha(A)$  would be an elliptic curve, not  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $a \in A$  be s.t.  $\alpha(a)$  generates the cyclic group  $\alpha(A)$  in  $\text{Aut}(B_0)/B_0 \cong \text{Aut}(B_0, 0)$ . It is easy to see that  $\alpha(a)$  must have a fixed point. Choose that point to be the zero point of  $B_0$ . Now  $\alpha(A)$  is abelian, so is a direct product  $A_0 \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .  $A_0$  is a subgroup of translations of  $B_0$  and thus a finite subgroup scheme of  $B_0$ . Since  $A_0$  and  $\alpha(A)$  commute, we must have  $A_0 \subset \{b' \in B_0 | \alpha(a)(b') = b'\}$ . We thus have the following possibilities:

- (a)  $n = 2 \implies$  the fixed points are  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
- (b)  $n = 3 \implies$  the fixed points are  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$
- (c)  $n = 4 \implies$  the fixed points are  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
- (d)  $n = 6 \implies$  the fixed points are  $\{0\}$

We thus obtain the following classification (Bagnera-de Franchis):

- (a1)  $(B_1 \times B_0)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , with the generator  $a$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset B_1[2]$  acting on  $B_1 \times B_0$  by  $a(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + a, -b_0)$ .

- (a2)  $(B_1 \times B_0)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ , with the generators  $a$  and  $g$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \subset (B_1[2])^2$  acting by  $a(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + a, -b_0)$ ,  $g(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + g, b_0 + c)$  for  $c \in B_0[2]$ .
- (b1)  $(B_1 \times B_0)/(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ , with the generator  $a$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = B_1[3]$  (s.t.  $\alpha(a) = \omega \in \text{Aut}(B_0, 0)$  an automorphism of order 3 [only when  $j(B_0) = 0$ ]) acting on  $B_1 \times B_0$  by  $a(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + a, \omega(b_0))$ .
- (b2)  $(B_1 \times B_0)/(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ , with the generators  $a$  and  $g$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2 = (B_1[3])^2$  acting by  $a(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + a, \omega(b_0))$ ,  $g(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + g, b_0 + c)$  for  $c \in B_0[3]$ , is fixed by  $\omega$ , i.e.  $\omega(c) = c$ .
- (c1)  $(B_1 \times B_0)/(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ , with the generator  $a$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \subset B_1[4]$  (s.t.  $\alpha(a) = i \in \text{Aut}(B_0, 0)$  an automorphism of order 4 [only when  $j(B_0) = 1728$ ]) acting on  $B_1 \times B_0$  by  $a(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + a, i(b_0))$ .
- (c2)  $(B_1 \times B_0)/(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , with the generators  $a$  and  $g$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = B_1[4] \times B_1[2]$  acting by  $a(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + a, i(b_0))$ ,  $g(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + g, b_0 + c)$  for  $c \in B_0[2]$ .
- (d)  $(B_1 \times B_0)/(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$ , with the generator  $a$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} = B_1[6]$  acting on  $B_1 \times B_0$  by  $a(b_1, b_0) = (b_1 + a, -\omega(b_0))$ .

### 1. CLASSIFICATION (CONTD.)

Our first goal is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a minimal surface. Then*

- (a)  $\exists$  an integral curve  $C$  on  $X$  s.t.  $K \cdot C < 0 \Leftrightarrow \kappa(X) = -\infty \Leftrightarrow p_g = p_0 = 0 \Leftrightarrow p_{12} = 0$ .
- (b)  $K \cdot C = 0$  for all integral curves  $C$  on  $X$  (i.e.  $K \equiv 0$ )  $\Leftrightarrow \kappa(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow 4K \sim 0$  or  $6K \sim 0 \Leftrightarrow 12K \sim 0$ .
- (c)  $K^2 = 0, K \cdot C \geq 0$  for all integral curves  $C$  on  $X$ , and  $\exists$  an integral curve  $C'$  with  $K \cdot C' > 0 \Leftrightarrow \kappa(X) = 1 \Leftrightarrow K^2 = 0, |4K|$  or  $|6K|$  contains a strictly positive divisor  $\Leftrightarrow K^2 = 0, |12K|$  has a strictly positive divisor.
- (d)  $K^2 > 0, K \cdot C \geq 0$  for all integral curves  $C$  on  $X \Leftrightarrow \kappa(X) = 2$ , in which case  $|2K| = \emptyset$ .

We already showed that the 4 classes (given by the first clause) are exhaustive and mutually exclusive. We also proved the equivalences in (a). As a preliminary, we need some results on elliptic and quasielliptic fibrations. Recall that an effective divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i E_i > 0$  is said to be of canonical type if  $K_i \cdot E_i = D \cdot E_i = 0 \forall i$  (if  $X \rightarrow B$  is an elliptic/quasielliptic fibration, then every fiber has this property). If  $D$  is also connected and  $\gcd(n_1, \dots, n_r) = 1$ , then we say that  $D$  is an indecomposable curve or a divisor of canonical type.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $D = \sum n_i E_i > 0$  be an indecomposable curve of canonical type on a minimal surface  $X$ , and let  $L$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_D$  module. If  $\deg(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) = 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $H^0(D, L) \neq 0$  iff  $L \cong \mathcal{O}_D$ . Also,  $H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D) \cong k$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that every nonzero section  $s$  of  $H^0(D, L)$  generates  $L$ , i.e. gives an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_D \cong L$ . Then  $H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D)$  is a field containing  $k$  and is finite dimensional over  $k$ . Since  $k$  is algebraically closed by assumption, we have the proposition. So let  $s \in H^0(D, L)$  be nonzero, and let  $s_i = s|_{E_i} \in H^0(E_i, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_i})$ . The fact that  $\deg(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) = 0$  implies that either  $s_i$  is identically 0 on  $E_i$  or  $s_i$  doesn't vanish anywhere on  $E_i$  (i.e. it generates  $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_i}$ ). If  $s_i$  is identically 0 on  $E_i$ , then  $s_j$  must be 0 on  $E_i$  for every  $E_j$  that intersects  $E_i$ . This implies that  $s_j$  vanishes at a point of  $E_j$  and thus on all of  $E_j$  for all  $j$  by the connectedness of  $D$ . So if  $s$  doesn't vanish identically on  $E_i$  for all  $i$ , then  $s$  doesn't vanish anywhere on  $D$ , and we again have the desired isomorphism.

So suppose that  $s_i$  is identically 0 on  $E_i$  for every  $i$ . We'll show that  $s \neq 0$  gives a contradiction. Let  $k_i$  be the order of vanishing of  $s_i$  along  $E_i$ ,  $1 \leq k_i \leq n_i$ . Whenever  $k_i < n_i$ ,  $s$  defines a nonzero section of  $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-k_i E_i) / \mathcal{O}_X((-k_i + 1)E_i)$ . We claim that this section vanishes at every point  $p \in E_i$  to order at least the intersection multiplicity  $(E_i, \sum_{j \neq i} k_j E_j; p)$ . To see this, note that locally, if  $E_i$  only intersects one component  $E_j$ ,  $j \neq i$  at  $p$ , we can let  $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  and  $t_i = 0, t_j = 0$  cut out  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  respectively at  $p$ . We obtain an exact sequence

(7)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & H^0(L) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(E_i, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-k_i E_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{(k_i+1)E_i}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{k_i E_i})
 \end{array}$$

from the exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-k_i E_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(k_i+1)E_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{k_i E_i} \rightarrow 0$$

after tensoring by  $L$ . The local version is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & s \in A/(t_i^{n_i} t_j^{n_j}) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 (9) & 0 & \longrightarrow & A/t_i & \longrightarrow & A/t_i^{k_i+1} & \longrightarrow & A/t_i^{k_i} \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

We can write  $s = t_i^{k_i} \alpha_i = t_j^{k_j} \alpha_j$ ,  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in A$  since the order of vanishing of  $s$  along  $t_i$  is  $k_i$ . Since  $t_i, t_j$  is an  $A$ -regular sequence, we get  $\alpha_i = t_i^{k_j} \beta$ ,  $\alpha_j = t_i^{k_i} \beta$ , for some  $\beta \in A$ . The section  $s$  is represented by

$$(10) \quad t_i^{k_i} t_j^{k_j} \beta = t_j^{k_j} \beta \pmod{t_i}$$

in  $A/t_i$  to the left of the diagram. Then

$$(11) \quad \text{ord}_P(t_j^{k_j} \beta) = \dim(A/(t_i, t_j^{k_j} \beta)) \geq \dim(A/(t_i, t_j^{k_j})) = \text{int.mult.}(E_i, k_j E_j; P)$$

In general, one can use the Chinese remainder theorem to get the inequality for many points  $P$ . So if  $k_i < n_i$  then we have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} (t_i, \sum_{j \neq i} k_j E_j) &\leq \deg E_i(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-k_i E_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) \\ &\leq \deg (\mathcal{O}_X(-E_i)/\mathcal{O}_X(-2E_i))^{k_i} = -k_i E_i^2 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $k_i = n_i$ , then  $E_i \cdot D = 0$  gives  $E_i \cdot \sum k_j E_j = -(E_i, \sum (n_j - k_j) E_j) \leq 0$  since  $k_j \leq n_j$  and  $E_i \cdot E_j \geq 0$ . So letting  $D_1 = \sum k_j E_j$ , we have  $D_1 \cdot E_i \leq 0$  for all  $i$ . But

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} (D_1, D) &= \sum k_i (E_i, D) = 0 \\ &\implies D_1 \cdot E_i = 0 \forall i \\ &\implies D_1^2 = 0 \\ &\implies D_1 \text{ is a rational multiple of } D \\ &\implies D_1 = D \\ &\implies k_i = n_i \forall i \text{ (since } k_i \leq n_i \text{ and } \gcd(\{n_i\}) = 1) \\ &\implies s \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □