

# 18.175: Lecture 34

## Ergodic theory

Scott Sheffield

MIT

1

Recall setup

Birkhoff's ergodic theorem

Recall setup

Birkhoff's ergodic theorem

# Motivating problem

- ▶ Consider independent bond percolation on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  with some fixed parameter  $p > 1/2$ . Look at some simulations.
- ▶ Let  $\Omega$  be the set of maps from the edges of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  to  $\{0, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  the usual product  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $P = P_p$  the probability measure.
- ▶ Now consider an  $n \times n$  box centered at 0 and ask: what fraction of the points in that box belong to an infinite clusters? Does this fraction converge to a limit (in some sense: in probability, or maybe almost surely) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?
- ▶ Let  $C_x = 1_{x \in \text{infinite cluster}}$ . If the  $C_x$  were independent or each other, then this would just be a law of large numbers question. But the  $C_x$  are not independent of each other — far from it.
- ▶ We don't have independence. We have translation invariance instead. Is that good enough?
- ▶ More general:  $C_x$  distributed in *some* translation invariant way,  $EC_0 < \infty$ . Is mean of  $C_x$  (on large box) nearly constant?

## Rephrasing problem

- ▶ Let  $\theta_x$  be the translation of the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  that moves 0 to  $x$ . Each  $\theta_x$  induces a measure-preserving translation of  $\Omega$ . Then  $C_x(\omega) = C_0(\theta_{-x}(\omega))$ . So summing up the  $C_x$  values is the same as summing up the  $C_0(\theta_x(\omega))$  value over a range of  $x$ .
- ▶ The group of translations is generated by a one-step vertical and a one-step horizontal translation. Refer to the corresponding (commuting,  $P$ -preserving) maps on  $\Omega$  as  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ .
- ▶ We're interested in averaging  $C_0(\phi_1^j \phi_2^k \omega)$  over a range of  $(j, k)$  pairs.
- ▶ Let's simplify matters still further and consider the one-dimensional problem. In this case, we have a random variable  $X$  and we study empirical averages of the form

$$N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N X(\phi^n \omega).$$

## Examples: stationary $X_j$ sequences

- ▶ Could take  $X_j$  i.i.d.
- ▶ Or  $X_n$  could be a Markov chain, with each individual  $X_j$  distributed according to a stationary distribution  $\pi$ .
- ▶ Rotations of the circle. Say  $X_0$  is uniform in  $[0, 1]$  and generally  $X_j = X_0 + \alpha j$  modulo 1.
- ▶ If  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  is stationary and  $g : \mathbb{R}^{\{0,1,\dots\}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable, then  $Y_k = g(X_k, X_{k+1}, \dots)$  is stationary.
- ▶ Bernoulli shift.  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  are i.i.d. and  $Y_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{k+j} 2^{-j}$ .
- ▶ Can constructed two-sided ( $\mathbb{Z}$ -indexed) stationary sequence from one-sided stationary sequence by Kolmogorov extension.
- ▶ What if  $X_j$  are i.i.d. tosses of a  $p$ -coin, where  $p$  is itself random?

- ▶ Say that  $A$  is **invariant** if the symmetric difference between  $\phi(A)$  and  $A$  has measure zero.
- ▶ Observe: class  $\mathcal{I}$  of invariant events is a  $\sigma$ -field.
- ▶ Measure preserving transformation is called **ergodic** if  $\mathcal{I}$  is trivial, i.e., every set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  satisfies  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- ▶ **Example:** If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{\{0,1,\dots\}}$  and  $A$  is invariant, then  $A$  is necessarily in tail  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{T}$ , hence has probability zero or one by Kolmogorov's 0 – 1 law. So sequence is ergodic (the shift on sequence space  $\mathbb{R}^{\{0,1,2,\dots\}}$  is ergodic).
- ▶ **Other examples:** What about fair coin toss ( $\Omega = \{H, T\}$ ) with  $\phi(H) = T$  and  $\phi(T) = H$ ? What about stationary Markov chain sequences?

Recall setup

Birkhoff's ergodic theorem

Recall setup

Birkhoff's ergodic theorem

# Ergodic theorem

- ▶ Let  $\phi$  be a measure preserving transformation of  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Then for any  $X \in L^1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} X(\phi^m \omega) \rightarrow E(X|\mathcal{I})$$

a.s. and in  $L^1$ .

- ▶ Note: if sequence is ergodic, then  $E(X|\mathcal{I}) = E(X)$ , so the limit is just the mean.
- ▶ Proof takes a couple of pages. Shall we work through it?
- ▶ There's this lemma: let  $A_k$  be the event the maximum  $M_k$  of  $X_0$  and  $X_0 + X_1$  up to  $X_1 + \dots + X_{k-1}$  is non-negative. Then  $EX_0 1_{A_k} \geq 0$  is non-negative.

10

- ▶ Typical starting digit of a physical constant? Look up Benford's law.
- ▶ Does ergodic theorem kind of give a mathematical framework for this law?

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

## 18.175 Theory of Probability

Spring 2014

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.