

## MEASURE AND INTEGRATION: LECTURE 10

**Integration as a linear functional.** A complex vector space is a set  $V$  with two operations: addition (+) and scalar multiplication ( $\cdot$ ).

Addition: For all  $x, y, z \in V$ ,

- $x + y = y + x$ .
- $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$ .
- $\exists$  unique vector  $0$  such that  $x + 0 = x$  for all  $x$ .
- $\exists (-x)$  such that  $x + (-x) = 0$ .

Multiplication: For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x \in V$ ,

- $1 \cdot x = x$
- $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x) = (\alpha\beta) \cdot x$
- $\alpha \cdot (x + y) = \alpha \cdot x + \alpha \cdot y$
- $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot x$ .

A linear transformation is a map  $\Lambda: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  from a vector space  $V_1$  to a vector space  $V_2$  such that  $\Lambda(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha \Lambda x + \beta \Lambda y$ . If  $V_2 = \mathbb{C}$  (or  $\mathbb{R}$ ), then  $\Lambda$  is a *linear functional*.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then

$$L^1(\mu) = \left\{ f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \int_X |f| d\mu < \infty, f \text{ measurable} \right\}.$$

Note that  $\int_X f d\mu$  is a linear functional. Let  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded measurable function. Then  $f \mapsto \int_X fg d\mu$  is also a linear functional.

Special case:  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) = \{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous}\}.$$

The Riemann integral is a *positive* linear functional since  $f \geq 0 \Rightarrow \Lambda f \geq 0$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the Riemann integral.

**Riesz theorem.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $C(X)$  be the set of functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\Lambda: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a positive linear functional, then there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and unique measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  such that  $\Lambda f = \int_X f d\mu$ . Conversely, given a measure, then  $\Lambda$  is a positive linear functional.

---

*Date:* October 7, 2003.

**Topology.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. The space  $X$  is *Hausdorff* if for all  $p, q \in X$  such that  $p \neq q$  there exist neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $p \in U$ ,  $q \in V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . The space  $X$  is *locally compact* if for all  $p \in X$  there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  such that  $\overline{U}$  (the closure of  $U$ ) is compact. (Infinite dimensional spaces are not locally compact.)

Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\{x \mid f(x) > \alpha\}$  is open for all  $\alpha$ , then  $f$  is *lower semicontinuous*. If  $\{x \mid f(x) < \alpha\}$  is open for all  $\alpha$ , then  $f$  is *upper semicontinuous*. Examples:  $\chi_U$  for  $U$  open is lower semicontinuous and  $\chi_F$  for  $F$  closed is upper semicontinuous.

The *support* of a function  $f$  is defined as the set  $\text{supp } f = \{x \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ . An important set is the set of all functions with compact support:

$$C_c(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \text{supp } f \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Since  $\text{supp } f_g \subset (\text{supp } f) \cup (\text{supp } g)$ ,  $C_c(X)$  is a vector space.

Notation: (1)  $K \prec f$  means that  $K$  is compact,  $f \in C_c(X)$ ,  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in K$ . (2)  $f \prec V$  means that  $V$  is open,  $f \in C_c(X)$ ,  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $\text{supp } f \subset V$ .

**Urysohn's lemma.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space,  $K \subset V$ ,  $K$  compact,  $U$  open. Then there exists  $f \in C_c(X)$  such that  $K \prec f \prec V$ .

A corollary to Urysohn's lemma is the existence of partitions of unity. Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be open subsets of  $X$  (a locally compact Hausdorff space) and  $K$  compact such that  $K \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$ . Then there exists functions  $h_i \prec V_i$  such that  $h_1(x) + \dots + h_n(x) = 1$ .

**Riesz representation theorem (for positive linear functionals).**

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let*

$$\Lambda: C_c(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

*be a positive linear functional (positive when restricted to  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ). Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  which contains all the Borel sets and a unique positive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that*

- (a)  $\Lambda f = \int_X f \, d\mu$  for all  $f \in C_c(X)$ .
- (b)  $\mu(K) < \infty$  for all compact sets  $K \subset X$ .
- (c) If  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then

$$\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) \mid E \subset V, V \text{ open}\}.$$

- (d) If  $E$  is open or  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , then

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\}.$$

(e) If  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A \subset E$ , and  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* (Outline) We must show uniqueness.

By (d), the measure of open sets determined by measure of compact sets, and so by (c) the measure of any set in  $\mathcal{M}$  is determined by the measure of compact sets. Assume we have  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  which satisfy the conditions of the theorem, and let  $K$  be compact. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $U$  open such that  $K \subset U$  and  $\mu_2(U) < \mu_2(K) + \epsilon$ . By Urysohn's lemma, there exists  $f \in C_c(X)$  such that  $K \prec f \prec V$ . Then

$$\mu_1(K) = \int_X \chi_K d\mu_1 \leq \int_X f d\mu_1 = \Lambda f$$

and

$$\Lambda f = \int_X f d\mu_2 \leq \int_X \chi_V d\mu_2 = \mu_2(V) < \mu_2(K) + \epsilon.$$

Since this holds for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mu_1(K) \leq \mu_2(K)$ , and by reversing the roles of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , we have  $\mu_1(K) = \mu_2(K)$ .

Now let  $V \subset X$  be open and define  $\mu(V) = \sup\{\Lambda f \mid f \prec V\}$ . For  $E \subset X$ , define  $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) \mid E \subset V, V \text{ open}\} = \lambda^*(E)$ . ( $\lambda^*$  will not be countably additive on all sets, only on the  $\sigma$ -algebra.) Let  $\mathcal{M}_F$  be the set of  $E \subset X$  such that

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\} \text{ and } \mu^*(E) < \infty.$$

Finally,  $\mathcal{M}$  is simply  $E \subset X$  such that  $E \cap K \in \mathcal{M}_F$  for all  $K \in \mathcal{M}_F$ .  $\square$

**Properties.**

- (1)  $\mu^*$  is countably subadditive:  $\mu(\cup E_i) \leq \sum \mu(E_i)$ .
- (2) If  $E_i \in \mathcal{M}_F$  are disjoint, then  $\mu(\cup E_i) = \sum \mu(E_i)$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{M}_F$  contains all open sets.
- (4) (Approximation) If  $E \in \mathcal{M}_F$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exist  $K \subset E \subset V$ ,  $K$  compact,  $V$  open, such that  $\mu(V \setminus K) < \epsilon$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- (6) If  $f \in C_c(X)$ , then  $\Lambda f = \int_X f d\mu$ .

*Proof.* Just NTS that  $\Lambda f \leq \int_X f d\mu$  for  $f$  real in  $C_c(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\Lambda f &= \Lambda(-f) \leq \int_X (-f)d\mu = -\int_X f d\mu \\ \Rightarrow \Lambda f &\geq \int_X f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The complex case follows from the real case by complex linearity. Let  $f \in C_c(X)$  and  $\text{supp } f = K$  compact. The continuous

image of compact sets is compact  $\Rightarrow f(K) \subset [a, b]$ . Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $y_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) such that  $y_i - y_{i-1} < \epsilon$  and  $y_0 < a < y_1 \cdots < y_n = b$  (i.e., partition the range by  $\epsilon$ ). Let

$$E_i = \{x \mid y_{i-1} < f(x) \leq y_i\} \cap K.$$

Since  $f$  is continuous,  $f$  is Borel measurable and  $\cup_{i=1}^n E_i = K$  is a disjoint union. choose open sets  $V_i \supset E_i$  such that  $\mu(V_i) < \mu(E_i) + \epsilon/n$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $f(x) < y_i + \epsilon$  for all  $x \in V_i$ . (The latter can be done by continuity of  $f$ .)

By partition of unity, there exists  $h_i \prec V_i$  such that  $\sum_i h_i = 1$  on  $K$ . Write  $f = \sum_i h_i f$ . Then

$$\mu(K) \leq \Lambda\left(\sum_i h_i\right) = \sum_i \Lambda h_i,$$

$$h_i f \leq (y_i + \epsilon)h_i, \text{ and } y_i - \epsilon < f(x) \forall x \in E_i.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda f &= \sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda(h_i f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \epsilon) \Lambda h_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (|a| + y_i + \epsilon) \Lambda h_i - |a| \sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda h_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (|a| + y_i + \epsilon)(\mu(E_i) + \epsilon/n) - |a| \mu(K) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (|a| + \epsilon)(\mu(E_i)) \\ &= |a| \mu(K) + \sum_{i=1}^n (|a| + y_i + \epsilon)\epsilon/n + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \mu(E_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \epsilon)\mu(E_i) + 2\epsilon\mu(K) + \epsilon/n \sum_{i=1}^n (|a| + y_i + \epsilon) \\ &\leq \int_X f d\mu + \epsilon(\text{constant}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definitions.** A measure space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is called a *Borel measure* if  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) \mid E \subset V, V \text{ open}\}$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\mu$  is called *outer regular*. Similarly, if  $\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\}$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\mu$  is called *inner regular*. If  $\mu$  is both inner and outer regular, it is said to be *regular*.

A space  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact if  $X = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$  where each  $K_i$  is compact. It is  $\sigma$ -finite if  $X = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$  where  $\mu(E_i) < \infty$  for each  $i$ .

**Addition to Riesz.** If  $X$  is locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact, Hausdorff space then we also have:

- (1) If  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exists  $F \subset E \subset V$ ,  $F$  closed,  $V$  open, such that  $\mu(V \setminus F) < \epsilon$ .
- (2) For all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  there exists  $A \subset E \subset B$  such that  $A$  is  $F_\sigma$ ,  $B$  is  $G_\delta$ , and  $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$ .

**Application.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $\Lambda: C_c(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\Lambda f = \int_X f$ , the Riemann integral. Then Lebesgue measure is what you get from the Riesz theorem.