

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.034 Honors Differential Equations  
Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

## LECTURE 4. SEPARABLE EQUATIONS

**Separable equations.** Separable equations are differential equations of the form

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{f(x)}{g(y)}.$$

For example,  $x + yy' = 0$  and  $y' = y^2 - 1$ . A separable equation (4.1) can be written in the differential form as

$$(4.2) \quad f(x)dx = g(y)dy.$$

Then, it can be solved formally by integrating both sides of (4.2).

We state and prove the rigorous theory of local solutions for (4.2) (and hence (4.1)).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f(x)$  and  $g(y)$  be continuous in the rectangle  $R = \{(x, y) : a < x < b, c < y < d\}$ . In addition, if  $f$  and  $g$  do not vanish simultaneously at any point of  $R$ , then (4.2) has one and only one solution through each point  $(x_0, y_0) \in R$ . The solution is given by*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{x_0}^x f(x)dx = \int_{y_0}^y g(y)dy$$

It is essential that  $f$  and  $g$  do not vanish simultaneously. For example,  $xdx = -ydy$  has no solution through the origin.

*Proof.* Note that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for  $a < x < b$  or  $g(y) \neq 0$  for  $c < y < d$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $g(y) > 0$  for  $c < y < d$ .

Let

$$F(x) = \int_{x_0}^x f(x)dx, \quad G(y) = \int_{y_0}^y g(y)dy$$

so that (4.3) becomes

$$(4.4) \quad F(x) = G(y).$$

Since  $G'(y) = g(y) > 0$  in  $R$ , by the inverse function theorem,  $G^{-1}$  exists and (4.4) can be written as  $y = G^{-1}(F(x))$ . That means,  $\frac{dy}{dx}$  exists. Then, by differentiating (4.4), we get

$$F'(x) = G'(y) \frac{dy}{dx}, \quad \text{or} \quad f(x) = g(y) \frac{dy}{dx}.$$

This implies (4.2). Moreover, (4.3) gives the initial condition that  $y = y_0$  when  $x = x_0$ .

To prove the uniqueness, let  $y$  be one solution of (4.2) and  $z$  be another solution with the same initial condition. Under the hypothesis, the equation

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{f(x)}{g(z)}$$

implies that  $dz/dx$  exists for any  $(x, z) \in R$ . Let

$$u = G(y), \quad v = G(z).$$

Then,

$$\frac{du}{dx} = G'(y) \frac{dy}{dx} = g(y) \frac{dy}{dx} = f(x).$$

Similarly,  $\frac{dz}{dx} = f(x)$ . Since  $u$  and  $v$  have the same derivative, they differ by a constant. On the other hand, the initial conditions for  $u$  and  $v$  at  $x_0$  agree. Therefore,  $u = v$  everywhere in  $R$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 4.2.** Consider the initial value problem

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = 1 + y^2, \quad y(0) = 1.$$

Separating the variables, we write the differential equation as

$$\frac{dy}{1 + y^2} = dx.$$

Since the constant function never vanishes, upon integration and evaluation, we obtain

$$\tan^{-1} y = x + c, \quad \tan^{-1} 1 = c.$$

Therefore, the (unique) solution of (4.5) is  $y = \tan(x + \pi/4)$ . The same result is obtained by integrating between corresponding limits

$$\int_1^y \frac{dy}{1 + y^2} = \int_0^x dx.$$

**Orthogonal trajectories.** If two families of curves are such that every curve of one family intersects the curves of the other family at a right angle, then we say that the two families are *orthogonal trajectories* of each other. For example, the coordinate lines:

$$x = c_1, \quad y = c_2$$

in a Cartesian coordinate system form a set of orthogonal trajectories. Another example is the circles and radial lines

$$r = c_1, \quad \theta = c_2$$

in a polar coordinate system.

Suppose a curve in the  $(x, y)$ -plane is such that the tangent at a point  $(x, y)$  on it makes an angle  $\phi$  with the  $x$ -axis. The orthogonal trajectory through the same point  $(x, y)$  then makes an angle  $\phi + \pi/2$  with the  $x$ -axis. Since

$$\tan(\phi + \pi/2) = -\cot \phi = -\frac{1}{\tan \phi}$$

and since the slope of the curve is  $\frac{dy}{dx} = \tan \phi$ , we should replace  $\frac{dx}{dy}$  by  $-\frac{dx}{dy}$  in the differential equation for the original family to get the differential equation for the orthogonal trajectories.

**Example 4.3.** We consider the family of circles

$$(4.6) \quad x^2 + y^2 = cx$$

tangent to the  $y$  axis.

By differentiating (4.6) and by eliminating  $c$ , we obtain a differential equation

$$x^2 + y^2 = 2x + 2xy \frac{dy}{dx}, \quad \text{or} \quad y^2 - x^2 = 2xy \frac{dy}{dx}$$

that the family of curves (4.6) satisfies. Replace  $dy/dx$  by  $-dx/dy$  we get the equation of the orthogonal trajectories

$$y^2 - x^2 = -2xy \frac{dx}{dy}.$$

We write it in differential form as

$$2xydx - x^2dy + y^2dy = 0.$$

Multiplying by  $1/y^2$  then gives\*

$$d\left(\frac{x^2}{y}\right) + dy = 0,$$

and hence,  $\frac{x^2}{y} + y = c$ . We arrange it into

$$x^2 + y^2 = cy,$$

which represents a family of circles tangent to the  $x$ -axis.

Although the analytical steps require  $x \neq 0$ ,  $y \neq 0$ , and  $y^2 \neq x^2$ , the final result is valid without these restrictions.

The quantity  $c$  defined by  $x^2 + y^2 = cy$  is constant on the original curves of the family, but not on the orthogonal trajectories. That is why  $c$  must be eliminated in the first step.

**Exercise.** Show that the orthogonal trajectories of the family of geometrically similar, coaxial ellipses

$$x^2 + my^2 = c, \quad m > 0$$

are given by  $y = \pm|x|^m$ .

**Exercise.** Show that the solution curves of any separable equation  $y' = f(x)g(y)$  have as orthogonal trajectories the solution curves of the separable equation  $y' = -1/f(x)g(y)$ .

---

\*This procedure makes the equation *exact* and the solution is defined implicitly. The factor  $1/y^2$  is called a *integrating factor*. We will study exact differential equation more systematically later.