

### I. The winding number

Let  $R \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open region, let  $\begin{cases} x' = F(x, y) \\ y' = G(x, y) \end{cases}$

be an autonomous differential system on  $R$ , and let  $C \subset R$  be an oriented, simpler closed curve in  $R$ . In other words,  $C$  is the image of the circle under a 1-to-1 map whose derivative vector is always nonzero, say  $h : [0,1] \rightarrow R, h(1) = h(0)$ .

If  $C$  contains no equilibrium point of the system, the following function is well-defined and continuous:

$$f : C \rightarrow \delta^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \quad f(q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F(q)^2 + G(q)^2}} \begin{bmatrix} F(q) \\ G(q) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The composition  $foh : [0,1] \rightarrow \delta^1$  is (essentially) a cts. map from the circle to the circle. To such a map there is an associated integer  $n$ , the degree of the map. This integer counts the number of times  $foh(t)$  rotates counterclockwise around the circle as  $t$  rotates once counterclockwise around the circle. If  $h, F$  and  $G$  are all

continuously differentiable function,  $g = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then the degree is simply

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 [g_1(t)g_2'(t) - g_1'(t)g_2(t)] dt$$

This integer turns out to be independent of  $h(t)$  (although it does depend on the orientation of  $C$ ). It is called the winding number of  $(F,G)$  about  $C$ .

Let  $p \in R$  be an equilibrium point. It is isolated if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $p$  is the only equilibrium point in the  $\varepsilon$ -ball about  $p$ . For any  $0 < q < \varepsilon$ , consider the circle  $C_q$  of radius  $q$  centered at  $p$ . The winding number of  $(F,G)$  about  $C_q$  is independent of  $q$  and is called the index of  $(F,G)$  at  $p$  (or sometimes the Poincare index).

Examples: (1) Let  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  and let  $F = \lambda x, G = \mu y$ . Then  $p = (0,0)$  is an isolated equilibrium point. Consider  $h_q(t) = \begin{bmatrix} q \cos(t) \\ q \sin(t) \end{bmatrix}, 0 < t$

$$\text{Then } g(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 \cos^2(t) + \mu^2 \sin^2(t)}} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \cos(t) \\ \mu \sin(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

And  $g_1(t)g_2'(t) - g_1'(t)g_2(t) = \frac{\lambda\mu}{\lambda^2 \cos^2(t) + \mu^2 \sin^2(t)}$ . This is closely related to the Poisson kernel. It is nontrivial, but the integral  $\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\lambda\mu}{\lambda^2 \cos^2(t) + \mu^2 \sin^2(t)} dt$  can be computed by elementary methods, and it equals  $2\pi$  (consider the case that  $\lambda = \mu$ ). So the index is +1.

(2)  $\lambda, \mu < 0$ . This is the same as above when  $\lambda \rightarrow -\lambda, \mu \rightarrow -\mu$ . Notice the integral does not change. So the index is +1.

(3)  $\lambda < 0, \mu > 0$ . now the integral is  $-\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(-\lambda)\mu}{(-\lambda)^2 \cos^2(t) + \mu^2 \sin^2(t)} dt$ .

This is -1 times the integral from (1). So the index is -1.

Theorem: Let  $C$  be a simple closed curve that contains no equ. pts. in  $R$  oriented so the interior is always on the left. If the interior is contained in  $R$ , and if the interior contains only finitely many equilibrium points,  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ , then the winding number about  $C$  is  $\text{index}(p_1) + \dots + \text{index}(p_n)$ . (and 0 if there are no eq. pts).

Proof: This is proved, for instance in Theorem 3, § 11.9 on p.442 of Wilfred Kaplan, Ordinary Differential Equations, Addison-Wesley, 1958.

Corollary: If  $R$  is simply-connected, then every cycle  $C$  contained in  $R$  contains an equilibrium point in its interior.

Rmk: A region  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is simply-connected if for every simple closed curve  $C$  in  $R$ , the interior of  $C$  is contained in  $R$ . A cycle is a periodic orbit (that is necessarily a simple closed curve).

Pf: By construction, (F,6) is parallel to the tangent vector of  $C$ . Therefore the winding number is +1. So, by the theorem, there is an equilibrium point in the interior of  $C$ .

## II. Lyapunov functions

Let  $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open region. Let  $\vec{x}' = \vec{F}(\vec{x})$  be an autonomous system on  $R$ . Let  $p \in R$  be a point.

Definition: A function  $V : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is positive definite (resp. negative definite) if

- (1)  $V(q) \geq 0$  (resp.  $V(q) \leq 0$ ) for all  $q \in R$
- (2)  $V(q) = 0$  iff  $q = p$ .

Let  $p$  be an equilibrium point.

Definition: A strong Lyapunov function is a continuously differentiable function  $V : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

(1)  $V$  is positive definite

(2) the function  $V' := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{dV(x)}{dx_i} F_i(x)$  is negative definite.

Remark : It is often the case that there is no strong Lyapunov function on  $R$ , yet there is an open subregion  $R' \subset R$  containing  $p$  and a strong Lyapunov function on  $R'$ . In this case, simply replace  $R$  by  $R'$  in what follows.

Hypothesis: Suppose a strong Lyapunov function exists. There is a minor issue that your book does not deal with: long-time existence of solution curves. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded closed region whose interior contains  $p$  and such that  $K \subset R$ . Define

$r_0$  = minimum of  $V$  on the bounded closed set  $\partial K$  (a continuous function on a bounded closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  always attains a minimum). Because  $p \in$  interior of  $K$ ,  $r_0 > 0$ . Define  $R'$  to be

$$R' = KnV^{-1}\left([0, r_0]\right) = \left\{q \in K / V(q) < r_0\right\}.$$

Observe this is an open region in  $R$  that contains  $p$  and is contained in the interior of  $K$ .

Theorem: (1) For every  $x_0 \in R'$ , the solution curve  $x(t)$  is defined for all  $t > 0$ .

(2) Moreover,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = p$ . Therefore  $p$  is an attractor and  $R'$  is in the basin of attraction of  $p$ .

Proof: For any  $x_0 \in R'$ , if  $x(t)$  is defined on the interval  $[0, t_1]$ , consider  $V(x(t))$  defined on  $[0, t_1]$ . By the Chain Rule,  $V(x(t))$  is differentiable and

$$\frac{d}{dt} V(x(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i}(x(t)) \cdot \frac{dx_i}{dt}(t).$$

By hypothesis,  $x'_i(t) = F_i(x(t))$ . Thus  $\frac{d}{dt} V(x(t)) = V'(x(t))$ .

By hypothesis, this is nonpositive. Therefore  $V(x(t))$  is a non-increasing function. In particular, if  $x_0 \in R'$ , then  $x(t)$  is in  $R'$  for all  $t \in [0, t_1]$ .

(1) Let  $x_0 \in R'$ . By way of contradiction, suppose that  $x(t)$  is defined only on  $[0, t_1]$  where  $t_1$  is finite. By the theorem on maximally extended solutions,

$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} x(t)$  exists and is in  $\partial K$ . Therefore  $V(\lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} x(t)) \geq r_0$ . Since  $V$  is

continuous

$$V(\lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} x(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} V(x(t)). \text{ For all } t \geq 0, V(x(t)) \leq V(x_0) < r_0.$$

So  $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_1} V(x(t)) \leq V(x_0) < r_0$ . This contradiction proves  $x(t)$  is defined for all  $t > 0$ .

(2) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $B_\varepsilon(p)$  denote the open ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centered at  $p$ . The set difference  $K \setminus (KnB_\varepsilon(p))$  is closed and bounded. Therefore  $V$  attains a minimum value  $r_1$  on this set. Since  $p$  is not in this set  $r_1 > 0$ . Also,  $KnV^{-1}([r_1, \infty))$  is a closed set contained in  $K$ . So it is closed and bounded ( $K$  is bounded). Therefore  $V'$  attains a maximum value  $-m_1$  on this set. Since  $p$  is not in this set  $-m_1 < 0$ , i.e.  $m_1 > 0$ .

Define  $t_1 = \frac{r_0 - r_1}{m_1}$ .

The claim is that for all  $x_0 \in R'$ ,  $V(x(t)) < r_1$  for all. In particular, since  $x(t) \in R' \& V(x(t)) < r_1$ ,  $x(t)$  is in  $R' \cap B_\varepsilon(p)$ . By way of contradiction, suppose  $V(x(t)) \geq r_1$ . By the mean value theorem, there exists  $t'$  with  $0 < t' < t$  such that  $V(x_0) - V(x(t)) = -V'(x(t')) \cdot t$ . Since  $V(x(t)) \geq r_1$ , also  $V(x(t')) \geq r_1$ . Therefore  $x(t') \notin KnV^{-1}([r_1, \infty))$ .

Thus  $-V'(x(t)) \geq m_1$ . So  $V(x_0) - V(x(t)) \geq m_1 t > m_1 t_1 = r_0 - r_1$ . But  $V(x_0) < r_0$  and  $V(x(t)) \geq r_1$ . This is a contradiction, proving  $V(x(t)) < r_1$  for all  $t > t_1$ .

The definition of a weak Lyapunov function as well as the statements of Lyapunov's second and third theorems are in the textbook.

### III. A criterion for asymptotic stability.

Let  $V$  be a real vector space of dimension  $n$ , eg.  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $R \subset V$  be an open region, and let  $\vec{x}' = \vec{F}(\vec{x})$  be an autonomous system on  $R$ . Let  $p \in R$  be an equilibrium point.

Theorem: If  $F$  is differentiable at  $p$ , and if every eigenvalue of  $\left[ \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial R_j} \right]_p$  has negative real part, then there is an open region  $R' \subset R$  contains  $p$  and a strong Lyapunov function on  $R'$ .

Proof: There is a beautiful proof in the first edition of the textbook, which is stapled at the end. Here we give a closely related, but different argument.

The Jacobian of  $F$  at  $p$  is a linear transformation  $T : V \rightarrow V$  with the property that, for my norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $V$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta^2 > 0$  such that if  $\|V\| < \delta^2$ , then  $\|F_{(p+v)} - F_p - T_v\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|V\|$ . Notice this is independent of the system of coordinates on  $V$ . Without loss of generality, translate so  $p=0$ .

As we have alluded to earlier in the semester, for each real vector space  $V$  there is an associated complex vector space  $V_c$  defined as a set to be  $V \times V$  with elements  $(v, w)$  written  $v + iw$ . The addition is defined component-by-component. And for

each complex number  $\alpha + i\beta$ ,  $(\alpha + i\beta) \cdot (v + iw)$  is defined to be  $(\alpha v - \beta w) + i(\beta v + \alpha w)$ . The original vector space  $V$  is a subset by  $v \mapsto v + i \cdot 0$ . And  $T : V \rightarrow V$  extends to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear transformation  $T_{\mathbb{C}} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}$  by  $T_{\mathbb{C}}(v + iw) = T(v) + iT(w)$ .

By the Jordan normal form theorem, there exists a direct sum decomposition  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$  and for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  an ordered basis  $B_i$  for  $V_i$  s.t.

- (1) for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $T(V_i) \subset V_i$
- (2) the corresponding linear transformation  $T_i : V_i \rightarrow V_i$  has matrix

$$[T_i]_{B_i, B_i} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } \lambda.$$

For any nonzero  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , there is also a basis  $B_{i,\alpha}$  s.t.

$$[T_i]_{B_i, \alpha, B_i, \alpha} = [ ].$$

Indeed, if  $B_i = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , then  $B_{i,\alpha} = (v_1, \alpha v_2, \alpha^2 v_3, \dots, \alpha^{m-1} v_m)$ .

For each ordered basis  $B$  for  $V_i$ , there is a "dual basis of coordinates"  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ :  $V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  s.t.

$V = x_1(v)v_1 + \dots + x_m(v) \cdot v_m$  for every  $v \in V$ : ( $B = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ ). There is a corresponding Hermitian inner product,

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B : V_i \times V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle_B = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i(v) \overline{x_i(w)}.$$

In particular, this is bilinear, positive definite and  $\langle w, v \rangle_B = (\langle v, w \rangle_B)$ .

And  $\langle T_i v, v \rangle_{B,i,\alpha} = \lambda |x_1|^2 + \alpha x_1 \overline{x_2} + \lambda |x_2|^2 + \alpha x_2 \overline{x_3} + \dots + \alpha x_{m-1} \overline{x_m} + \lambda |x_m|^2$ .

Lemma 1: For each  $n$ , the function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto |x_1|^2 - |x_1||x_2| + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_k|^2 - |x_k||x_{k+1}| + |x_{k+1}|^2 + \dots + -|x_{n-1}||x_n| + |x_n|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |x_k|^2 - |x_k||x_{k+1}| + |x_n|^2 \end{aligned}$$

is positive definite.

Proof: it is simply  $\frac{1}{2}|x_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (|x_k| - |x_{k+1}|)^2 + \frac{1}{2}|x_n|^2$ .

Since it is a sum of squares, it is nonnegative. It is zero iff  $|x_1| = 0$ ,  $|x_2| - |x_1| = 0, \dots, |x_n| - |x_{n-1}| = 0$  and  $|x_n| = 0$ , i.e.  $|x_1| = \dots = |x_n| = 0$ .

Lemma 2: If  $R_e(\lambda) < 0$  and if  $|\alpha| < -R_e(\lambda)$ , then  $2R_e < T_i v, v >_{\beta_i, \alpha}$  is negative definite.

Moreover,  $2R_e < T_i v, v >_{\beta_i, \alpha} \leq 2(R_e(\lambda) + |\alpha|) \cdot (|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } 2R_e &< T_i v, v >_{\beta_i, \alpha} = 2R_e(\lambda) (|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2) + 2R_e(\alpha x_1 \bar{x}_2 + \dots + \alpha x_{n-1} \bar{x}_n) \\ &\leq 2R_e(\lambda) (|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2) + 2|\alpha| (|x_1| |x_2| + \dots + |x_{n-1}| |x_n|) \\ &= 2(R_e(\lambda) + |\alpha|) (|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2) - |\alpha| (|x_1|^2 - |x_1| |x_2| + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_{n-1}|^2 - |x_{n-1}| |x_n| + |x_n|^2) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1,  $-|\alpha| (|x_1|^2 - |x_1| |x_2| + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_{n-1}|^2 - |x_{n-1}| |x_n| + |x_n|^2)$  is negative definite. Because  $R_e(\lambda) + \alpha < 0$ , also  $2(R_e(\lambda) + |\alpha|) (|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2)$  is negative definite.

For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $|R_e(\lambda)| > \varepsilon_i > 0$ . Let  $|\alpha_i| + R_e(\lambda) < -\varepsilon_i$ , i.e.  $0 < |\alpha_i| < |R_e(\lambda)| - \varepsilon_i$ .

Define the function  $\|\cdot\|^2$  by  $\|v_1 + \dots + v_n\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v_i, v_i \rangle_{B_i, \alpha_i}$  where  $v_i \in V_i$

This is a positive definite function. Moreover,  $\|\cdot\| := \sqrt{\|\cdot\|^2}$  is a norm. Therefore there is a  $\delta > 0$  s.t. if  $\|v\| < \delta$ , then  $\|F(v) - Tv\| \leq \min(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \|v\|$ .

$$\text{Now } \frac{d}{dt} \|x(t)\|^2 = 2R_e < F(x), x(t) > = \sum_{i=1}^n 2R_e < T_i x, x > + 2R_e < F(x) T_v, v >$$

$$\text{So } 2R_e < F(x), x > \leq \sum_{i=1}^n 2R_e < T_i x, x > + \|F(x) - T_x\| \|x\|.$$

By Lemma 2, this is  $\leq -2 \min(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \|x\|^2 + \|F(x) - T_x\| \cdot \|x\|$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } \|x\| < \delta, \text{ this is } &\leq -2 \min(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \|x\|^2 + \min(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \|x\|^2 \\ &= -\min(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

So this is negative semidefinite. Therefore  $\|\cdot\|^2$  is a strong Lyapunov function on the ball of radius  $R$  centered at  $p$ .