

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.02 Multivariable Calculus
Fall 2007

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

18.02 Lecture 29. – Tue, Nov 20, 2007

Recall statement of divergence theorem: $\iint_S \mathbf{F} \cdot d\vec{S} = \iiint_D \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} dV$.

Del operator. $\nabla = \langle \partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial z \rangle$ (symbolic notation!)

$\nabla f = \langle \partial f/\partial x, \partial f/\partial y, \partial f/\partial z \rangle =$ gradient.

$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \langle \partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial z \rangle \cdot \langle P, Q, R \rangle = P_x + Q_y + R_z =$ divergence.

Physical interpretation. $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} =$ source rate = flux generated per unit volume. Imagine an incompressible fluid flow (i.e. a given mass occupies a fixed volume) with velocity \mathbf{F} , then $\iiint_D \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} dV = \iint_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS =$ flux through S is the net amount leaving D per unit time = total amount of sources (minus sinks) in D .

Proof of divergence theorem. To show $\iint_S \langle P, Q, R \rangle \cdot d\vec{S} = \iiint_D (P_x + Q_y + R_z) dV$, we can separate into sum over components and just show $\iint_S R \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot d\vec{S} = \iiint_D R_z dV$ & same for P and Q .

If the region D is vertically simple, i.e. top and bottom surfaces are graphs, $z_1(x, y) \leq z \leq z_2(x, y)$, with (x, y) in some region U of xy -plane: r.h.s. is

$$\iiint_D R_z dV = \iint_U \left(\int_{z_1(x,y)}^{z_2(x,y)} R_z dz \right) dx dy = \iint_U (R(x, y, z_2(x, y)) - R(x, y, z_1(x, y))) dx dy.$$

Flux through top: $d\vec{S} = \langle -\partial z_2/\partial x, -\partial z_2/\partial y, 1 \rangle dx dy$, so $\iint_{\text{top}} R \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot d\vec{S} = \iint R(x, y, z_2(x, y)) dx dy$.

Bottom: $d\vec{S} = \langle -\partial z_1/\partial x, -\partial z_1/\partial y, 1 \rangle dx dy$, so $\iint_{\text{bottom}} R \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot d\vec{S} = \iint -R(x, y, z_1(x, y)) dx dy$.

Sides: sides are vertical, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is horizontal, \mathbf{F} is vertical so flux = 0.

Given any region D , decompose it into vertically simple pieces (illustrated for a donut). Then $\iiint_D =$ sum of pieces (clear), and $\iint_S =$ sum of pieces since the internal boundaries cancel each other.

Diffusion equation: governs motion of smoke in (immobile) air (dye in solution, ...)

The equation is: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = k \nabla^2 u = k \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right)$,

where $u(x, y, z, t) =$ concentration of smoke; we'll also introduce $\mathbf{F} =$ flow of the smoke. It's also the heat equation ($u =$ temperature).

Equation uses two inputs:

1) Physics: $\mathbf{F} = -k \nabla u$ (flow goes from highest to lowest concentration, faster if concentration changes more abruptly).

2) Flux and quantity of smoke are related: if D bounded by closed surface S , then $\iint_S \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS = -\frac{d}{dt} \iiint_D u dV$. (flux out of $D = -$ variation of total amount of smoke inside D)

By differentiation under integral sign, the r.h.s. is $-\iiint_D \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dV$ (This can be explained in terms of integral as a sum of $u(x_i, y_i, z_i, t) \Delta V_i$ and derivative of sum is sum of derivatives) and by divergence theorem the l.h.s. is $\iint_S \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} dV$. Dividing by volume of D , we get

$$-\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(D)} \iiint_D \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dV = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(D)} \iint_S \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} dV.$$

Same average values over any region; taking limit as D shrinks to a point, get $\partial u/\partial t = -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}$.

Combining, we get the diffusion equation: $\partial u/\partial t = -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} = +k \operatorname{div} (\nabla u) = k \nabla^2 u$.