Model Solutions to 3.53 Problem Set 3

5.5. In answering this question, one can follow the procedure of Section 5.4.4. For

$$M^{+n} + ne \neq M(solid)$$
 (1)

$$E = E_{M^{+n},M(solid)}^{n} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{C_{M^{+n}}(0,t)}{C_{M(solid)}(0,t)} = E_{M^{+n},M(solid)}^{n} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{C_{M^{+n}}(0,t)}{1}$$
(2)

since $a_{\rm M}=1$, and which follows from equation (5.1.5). The following relations also apply

$$i(t) = nFAm_{M^{+n}} \left[C_{M^{-n}}^* - C_{M^{+n}}(0, t) \right]$$
(3)

$$i_d(t) = nFAm_{M^{+n}}C_{M^{+n}} \tag{4}$$

where $m_{M^{***}}$ is the mass transfer coefficient which replaces $\sqrt{D_{M^{***}}/\pi t}$ in equation (5.4.65) and $i_d(t)$ follows from equation (5.2.11). Solving equation (3) for $C_{M^{***}}(0,t)$

$$C_{M^{+n}}(0,t) = C_{M^{+n}}^{*} - \frac{i(t)}{nFAm_{max}}$$
 (5)

and equation (4) for $C_{M^{**}}^{\bullet}$

$$C_{M^{-n}}^{\bullet} = \frac{i_d(t)}{nFAm_{con}} \tag{6}$$

allows equation (5) to be written as

$$C_{M^{**}}(0,t) = \frac{i_d - i(t)}{nFAm} \tag{7}$$

Substituting this result into equation (2) leads to

$$E = E_{M^{+n},M(solid)}^{o} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{i_d(t) - i(t)}{nFAm_{M^{+n}}}$$

$$= E_{M^{+n},M(solid)}^{o} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln nFAm_{M^{+n}} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln (i_d(t) - i(t))$$
(8)

From Section 5.4.1(b), when

$$i(t) = \frac{i_d(t)}{2}$$
, then $E = E_{1/2}$ (9)

so that equation (8) may be rewritten

$$E_{1/2} = E_{M^{+n}, M_{(antiol)}}^{o} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln nF A m_{M^{+n}} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{i_d(t)}{2}$$
 (10)

which shows the relationship between $E_{1/2}$ and $i_d(t)$. From equation (6)

$$\frac{i_d(t)}{2} = nFAm_{M^{+n}} \frac{C_{M^{+n}}^*}{2} \tag{11}$$

which, when substituted into equation (10)

$$E_{1/2} = E_{M^{+n}, M_{(mild)}}^{o} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln nFAm_{M^{+n}} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln nFAm_{M^{+n}} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{C_{M^{+n}}^{*}}{2}$$

$$= E_{M^{+n}, M_{(mild)}}^{o} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{C_{M^{+n}}^{*}}{2}$$
(12)

leads to the relationship between $E_{1/2}$ and $C_{M^{*a}}^{\bullet}$.

5.6. The system is analogous to that shown in equation (5.4.70).

$$MX_p^{2-p} + 2e \Rightarrow M(Hg) + pX^-$$

(a) Given the conditions outlined after equation (5.4.70), equation (5.4.80) applies.

$$E_{1/2}^{c} = E_{M}^{0} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln K_{c} - \frac{pRT}{nF} \ln C_{X}^{*} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{m_{A}}{m_{C}}$$

A plot of $E_{1/2}^c$ versus $\ln C_X^*$ yields a slope of -pRT/nF. The intercept is equal to

$$E_M^{0'} - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln K_C + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{m_A}{m_C}$$
. Linear regression yields $E_{1/2}^c = -0.0513 \times \ln C_X^* - 0.566$ with $r = 0.99998$ for the data shown in the problem. Thus, $-p = 2 \times 38.92 V^1 \times -0.0513 = -3.99$. p is 4.

(b) From equation (5.4.72), $K_C = C_{MX4}/C_M C_X^4$ is the formation constant for the reaction $M^{2+} + 4X^- \neq MX_4$. The stability constant is the same as K_C . From equation (5.4.82), one can

solve for
$$K_C$$
 as follows,
$$K_C = \exp\left\{-\frac{nF}{RT}(E_{1/2}^C - E_{1/2}^M) - p \ln C_X^*\right\}$$

Because the diffusion coefficients are equal for the complex ion and the metal atom, $m_A = m_C$. An Excel spreadsheet can be set up as shown below.

 $E_{1/2,M}(V)$

0.081



$E_{1/2,C}(V)$	nF(E _{1/2,C} -E _{1/2,M})/RT	C _X *	in(C _X *)	pin(C _X *)	Kc
-0.448	-41.17736	0.10	-2.30259	-9.21034	7.64012E+21
-0.531	-47.63808	0.50	-0.69315	-2.77259	7.81763E+21
-0.566	-50.36248	1.00	0	0	7.44984E+21

An average of the last column leads to a stability constant of 7.6×10^{21} . Alternatively, from equation (5.4.82), a plot of $-nF(E_{1/2}^C - E_{1/2}^M)/RT$ versus $\ln C_X^*$ leads to a slope of p and an intercept of $\ln K_C$. A linear regression of the data given leads to p = 3.993 = 4 and $\ln K_C$ = 50.38 (with r = 0.99998) or K_C = 7.58 × 10^{21} = 7.6 × 10^{21} , which agrees with the previous result.

5.7 (a). The reversible reaction under consideration is

 $O + pH^{\dagger} + ne \rightleftharpoons R$

The Nernst equation for this reaction follows from equation (5.1.5) and can is written as

$$E = E_{O,R}^{o} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left[\frac{C_O(0,t)C_{H^*}^{p}(0,t)}{C_R(0,t)} \right]$$
 (1)

Proceeding as in Sections 5.5.3 and 5.5.4, the following equations can be written

$$i(t) = nFAm_O \left[C_O^* - C_O(0, t) \right]$$
 (2)

$$i_{d}(t) = nFAm_{O}C_{O}^{\bullet} \tag{3}$$

$$i(t) = nFAm_{H^*} \left[C_{H^*}^* - C_{H^*}(0, t) \right]$$
(4)

$$i(t) = nFAm_R \left[C_R(0, t) - C_R^{\bullet} \right] = nFAm_R C_R(0, t)$$
(5)

The equality in the rightmost expression of equation (5) arises because species R is initially absent. Solving equations (2), (4), and (5), for $C_0(0,t)$, $C_{H^*}(0,t)$, and $C_R(0,t)$, respectively leads to

$$C_O(0,t) = \frac{i_d(t) - i(t)}{nFAm_O} \tag{6}$$

$$C_{H^{+}}(0,t) = C_{H^{+}}^{*} - \frac{i(t)}{nFAm_{H^{+}}} \cong C_{H^{+}}^{*} \quad \text{(if } C_{H^{+}}^{*} >> i(t)/nFAm_{H^{+}})$$
 (7)

$$C_R(0,t) = \frac{i(t)}{nFAm_R} \tag{8}$$

Substituting equations (6)-(8) into equation (1) leads to

$$E = E_{O,R}^o + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{m_R}{m_O} + \frac{pRT}{nF} \ln C_H^* + \ln \left[\frac{i_d(t) - i(t)}{i(t)} \right]$$
(9)

which is the equation for the steady-state voltammogram of the reaction.



(b). When $i(t) = i_d(t)/2$, the last natural logarithm term of equation (9) is zero and equation (9) can then be recast as

$$E_{1/2} = E_{O,R}^{\sigma} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{m_R}{m_O} + \frac{pRT}{nF} \ln C_{H^*}^{\bullet}$$
 (10)

Then

$$\frac{dE_{1/2}}{d\ln C_{H^*}^*} = \frac{pRT}{nF} \tag{11}$$

or, at 25 °C,

$$\frac{dE_{1/2}}{d\log C_{H^*}^*} = 2.303 \frac{p}{n} \frac{8.31441 J mol^{-1} K^{-1} \times 298.15 K}{96485 C mol^{-1}} = 0.0592 \frac{p}{n}$$
(12)

which has units of volts. If $\log C_{\mu^*}^* \approx -pH$, then

$$\frac{dE_{1/2}}{dpH} = -0.0592 \frac{p}{n} \tag{13}$$

Experimentally, a plot of $E_{1/2}$ as a function of pH will be linear with a slope of -0.0592p/n (units of volts) from which p can be determined.

5.13. The electrochemical reaction under consideration is

$$I_3^- + 2e \neq 3I^- \tag{1}$$

with only species I present initially. The key to deriving the shape of the sampled-current voltammogram that would be recorded at a stationary Pt microelectrode can be found in Section 5.4.3 where

$$i(t) = nFAm_R \left[C_R(0, t) - C_R^* \right] \tag{2}$$

When solved for $C_R(0,t)$, this becomes

$$C_R(0,t) = C_R^* + \frac{i(t)}{nFAm_R} \tag{3}$$

where $m_R = (D_R/\pi t)^{1/2}$, as defined on page 185. Equation (3) can be written in terms of the diffusion limited current which follows from equation (1.4.17).

$$-\frac{i_d(t)}{C_n^*} = nFAm_R \tag{4}$$



This allows one to write

$$C_{R}(0,t) = C_{R}^{*} \left(\frac{i_{d}(t) - i(t)}{i_{d}(t)} \right)$$
 (5)

The flux condition at the electrode surface is equivalent to equation (5.4.27), written as

$$3D_O^{1/2}C_O(0,t) + D_R^{1/2}C_R(0,t) = D_R^{1/2}C_R^{\bullet}$$
(6)

where the factor of three accounts for the fact that the flux of I is three times the flux of I_3 . Equation (6) leads to the following expression for $C_0(0,t)$ after substitution of equation (5).

$$C_O(0,t) = \frac{C_R^*}{3} \left(\frac{D_R}{D_O}\right)^{1/2} \left[\frac{i(t)}{i_d(t)}\right]$$
 (7)

The Nernst equation (5.4.1) is written as

$$E = E^{o'} + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left(\frac{C_O(0, t)}{C_R^3(0, t)} \right)$$
 (8)

for reaction (1). Substituting for $C_O(0,t)$ and $C_R(0,t)$ from equations (5) and (7) respectively leads to

$$E = E^{o'} + \frac{RT}{4F} \ln \frac{D_R}{D_o} - \frac{RT}{F} \ln C_R^* - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln 3 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left\{ \frac{i(t)i_d^2(t)}{\left[i_d(t) - i(t)\right]^3} \right\}$$
(9)

after some algebra. When $i(t) = i_d(t)/2$, $E = E_{1/2}$, and equation (9) reduces to

$$E_{1/2} = E^{o'} + \frac{RT}{4F} \ln \frac{D_R}{D_O} - \frac{RT}{F} \ln C_R^* + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)$$
 (10)

Thus, $E_{1/2}$ depends on the bulk concentration of species R or Γ . Equations (9) and (10) are to be compared to equations (5.4.21) and (5.4.22) for the simpler $O + ne \neq R$ case. One can immediately see that a plot of E vs $\log [(i_d-i)/i)]$ based on equations (9) and (10) would not be linear with a slope of 59.1/n mV at 25 °C. Moreover, $E_{1/2}$ for the simpler reaction does not depend on concentration.