

15.081J/6.251J Introduction to Mathematical
Programming

Lecture 22: Primal-dual Barrier
Interior Point Algorithm

1 Outline

SLIDE 1

1. The Barrier Problem
2. Solving Equations
3. The Primal-Dual Barrier Algorithm
4. Insight on Behavior
5. Computational Aspects
6. Conclusions

2 The Barrier Problem

SLIDE 2

Barrier problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & B_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{x} - \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \end{aligned}$$

KKT:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} - \mu \left(\frac{1}{x_1(\mu)}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_n(\mu)} \right)' + \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{p}(\mu) &= \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(\mu) = \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{x}(\mu) &\geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

2.1 Optimality Conditions

SLIDE 3

Set $s_j(\mu) = \frac{\mu}{x_j(\mu)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(\mu) &= \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{x}(\mu) &\geq \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{p}(\mu) + \mathbf{s}(\mu) &= \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{s}(\mu) &\geq \mathbf{0} \\ s_j(\mu)x_j(\mu) &= \mu \quad \text{or} \\ \mathbf{X}(\mu)\mathbf{S}(\mu)\mathbf{e} &= \mathbf{e}\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{X}(\mu) = \text{diag}(x_1(\mu), \dots, x_n(\mu)), \mathbf{S}(\mu) = \text{diag}(s_1(\mu), \dots, s_n(\mu))$$

3 Solving Equations

SLIDE 4

$$F(z) = \begin{bmatrix} Ax - b \\ A'p + s - c \\ XSe - \mu e \end{bmatrix}$$

$z = (x, p, s)$, $r = 2n + m$
Solve

$$F(z^*) = 0$$

3.1 Newton's method

SLIDE 5

$$F(z^k + d) \approx F(z^k) + J(z^k)d$$

Here $J(z^k)$ is the $r \times r$ Jacobian matrix whose (i, j) th element is given by

$$\left. \frac{\partial F_i(z)}{\partial z_j} \right|_{z=z^k}$$

$$F(z^k) + J(z^k)d = 0$$

Set $z^{k+1} = z^k + d$ (d is the *Newton direction*)
(x^k, p^k, s^k) current primal and dual feasible solution
Newton direction $d = (d_x^k, d_p^k, d_s^k)$

SLIDE 6

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A' & I \\ S_k & 0 & X_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_x^k \\ d_p^k \\ d_s^k \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} Ax^k - b \\ A'p^k + s^k - c \\ X_k S_k e - \mu^k e \end{bmatrix}$$

3.2 Step lengths

SLIDE 7

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} &= x^k + \beta_P^k d_x^k \\ p^{k+1} &= p^k + \beta_D^k d_p^k \\ s^{k+1} &= s^k + \beta_D^k d_s^k \end{aligned}$$

To preserve nonnegativity, take

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_P^k &= \min \left\{ 1, \alpha \min_{\{i | (d_x^k)_i < 0\}} \left(-\frac{x_i^k}{(d_x^k)_i} \right) \right\}, \\ \beta_D^k &= \min \left\{ 1, \alpha \min_{\{i | (d_s^k)_i < 0\}} \left(-\frac{s_i^k}{(d_s^k)_i} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$0 < \alpha < 1$

4 The Primal-Dual Barrier Algorithm

SLIDE 8

1. (Initialization) Start with $\mathbf{x}^0 > \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{s}^0 > \mathbf{0}$, \mathbf{p}^0 , and set $k = 0$
2. (Optimality test) If $(\mathbf{s}^k)' \mathbf{x}^k < \epsilon$ stop; else go to Step 3.
3. (Computation of Newton directions)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^k &= \frac{(\mathbf{s}^k)' \mathbf{x}^k}{n} \\ \mathbf{X}_k &= \text{diag}(x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k) \\ \mathbf{S}_k &= \text{diag}(s_1^k, \dots, s_n^k)\end{aligned}$$

Solve linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}' & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{S}_k & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}_x^k \\ \mathbf{d}_p^k \\ \mathbf{d}_s^k \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{p}^k + \mathbf{s}^k - \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{S}_k \mathbf{e} - \mu^k \mathbf{e} \end{bmatrix}$$

SLIDE 9

4. (Find step lengths)

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_P^k &= \min \left\{ 1, \alpha \min_{\{i | (d_x^k)_i < 0\}} \left(-\frac{x_i^k}{(d_x^k)_i} \right) \right\} \\ \beta_D^k &= \min \left\{ 1, \alpha \min_{\{i | (d_s^k)_i < 0\}} \left(-\frac{s_i^k}{(d_s^k)_i} \right) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

5. (Solution update)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^{k+1} &= \mathbf{x}^k + \beta_P^k \mathbf{d}_x^k \\ \mathbf{p}^{k+1} &= \mathbf{p}^k + \beta_D^k \mathbf{d}_p^k \\ \mathbf{s}^{k+1} &= \mathbf{s}^k + \beta_D^k \mathbf{d}_s^k\end{aligned}$$

6. Let $k := k + 1$ and go to Step 2

5 Insight on behavior

SLIDE 10

- Affine Scaling

$$\mathbf{d}_{\text{affine}} = -\mathbf{X}^2 \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^2\mathbf{A}')^{-1} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^2 \right) \mathbf{c}$$

- Primal barrier

$$\mathbf{d}_{\text{primal-barrier}} = \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}^2 \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^2\mathbf{A}')^{-1} \mathbf{A} \right) \left(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{e} - \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbf{X}^2 \mathbf{c} \right)$$

- For $\mu = \infty$

$$\mathbf{d}_{\text{centering}} = \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}^2 \mathbf{A}' (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^2 \mathbf{A}')^{-1} \mathbf{A} \right) \mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}$$

- Note that

$$\mathbf{d}_{\text{primal-barrier}} = \mathbf{d}_{\text{centering}} + \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbf{d}_{\text{affine}}$$

- When μ is large, then the centering direction dominates, i.e., in the beginning, the barrier algorithm takes steps towards the analytic center
- When μ is small, then the affine scaling direction dominates, i.e., towards the end, the barrier algorithm behaves like the affine scaling algorithm

6 Computational aspects of IPMs

SLIDE 11

Simplex vs. Interior point methods (IPMs)

- Simplex method tends to perform poorly on large, massively degenerate problems, whereas IP methods are much less affected.

- Key step in IPMs

$$(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}_k^2 \mathbf{A}') \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{f}$$

- In implementations of IPMs $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}_k^2 \mathbf{A}'$ is usually written as

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}_k^2 \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}',$$

where \mathbf{L} is a square lower triangular matrix called the *Cholesky factor*

- Solve system

$$(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}_k^2 \mathbf{A}') \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{f}$$

by solving the triangular systems

$$\mathbf{L} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f}, \quad \mathbf{L}' \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{y}$$

- The construction of \mathbf{L} requires $O(n^3)$ operations; but the actual computational effort is highly dependent on the sparsity (number of nonzero entries) of \mathbf{L}
- Large scale implementations employ heuristics (reorder rows and columns of \mathbf{A}) to improve sparsity of \mathbf{L} . If \mathbf{L} is sparse, IPMs are stronger.

7 Conclusions

SLIDE 12

- IPMs represent the present and future of Optimization.
- Very successful in solving very large problems.
- Extend to general convex problems

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.251J / 15.081J Introduction to Mathematical Programming
Fall 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.