

6.241 Dynamic Systems and Control

Lecture 2: Least Square Estimation

Readings: DDV, Chapter 2

Emilio Frazzoli

Aeronautics and Astronautics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

February 7, 2011

Outline

1 Least Squares Estimation

Least Squares Estimation

- Consider an system of m equations in n unknown, with $m > n$, of the form

$$y = Ax.$$

- Assume that the system is **inconsistent**: there are more equations than unknowns, and these equations are non linear combinations of one another.
- In these conditions, there is no x such that $y - Ax = 0$. However, one can write $e = y - Ax$, and find x that minimizes $\|e\|$.
- In particular, the problem

$$\min_x \|e\|_2 = \min_x \|y - Ax\|_2$$

is a least squares problem. The optimal x is the **least squares estimate**.

Computing the Least-Square Estimate

- The set $M := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^m : z = Ax, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m , called the **range** of A , $\mathcal{R}(A)$, i.e., the set of all vectors that can be obtained by linear combinations of the columns of A .
- Recall the projection theorem. Now we are looking for the element of M that is “closest” to y , in terms of 2-norm. We know the solution is such that

$$e = (y - A\hat{x}) \perp \mathcal{R}(A).$$

- In particular, if a_i is the i -th column of A , it is also the case that

$$\begin{aligned} (y - A\hat{x}) \perp \mathcal{R}(A) &\Leftrightarrow a_i'(y - A\hat{x}) = 0, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ &A'(y - A\hat{x}) = 0 \\ &A'A\hat{x} = A'y \end{aligned}$$

- $A'A$ is a $n \times n$ matrix; is it invertible? If it were, then at this point it is easy to recover the least-square solution as

$$\hat{x} = (A'A)^{-1}A'y.$$

The Gram product

- Let us take a more abstract look at this problem, e.g., to address the case that the data vector y is infinite-dimensional.
- Given an array of n_A vectors $A = [a_1 | \dots | a_{n_A}]$, and an array of n_B vectors $B = [b_1 | \dots | b_{n_B}]$, both from an inner vector space V , define the **Gram Product** $\langle A, B \rangle$ as a $n_A \times n_B$ matrix such that its (i, j) entry is $\langle a_i, b_j \rangle$.
- For the usual Euclidean inner product in an m -dimensional space,

$$\langle A, B \rangle = A' B.$$

- Symmetry and linearity of the inner product imply symmetry and linearity of the Gram product.

The Least Squares Estimation Problem

- Consider again the problem of computing

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \underbrace{\|y - Ax\|}_e = \min_{\hat{y} \in \mathcal{R}(A)} \|y - \hat{y}\|.$$

- y can be an infinite-dimensional vector—as long as n is finite.
- We assume that the columns of $A = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ are independent.

Lemma (Gram matrix)

The columns of a matrix A are independent $\Leftrightarrow \langle A, A \rangle$ is invertible.

Proof— If the columns are dependent, then there is $\eta \neq 0$ such that $A\eta = \sum_j a_j \eta_j = 0$. But then $\sum_j \langle a_i, a_j \rangle \eta_j = 0$ by the linearity of inner product. That is, $\langle A, A \rangle \eta = 0$, and hence $\langle A, A \rangle$ is not invertible. Conversely, if $\langle A, A \rangle$ is not invertible, then $\langle A, A \rangle \eta = 0$ for some $\eta \neq 0$. In other words $\eta' \langle A, A \rangle \eta = 0$, and hence $A\eta = 0$.

The Projection theorem and least squares estimation 1

- y has a unique decomposition $y = y_1 + y_2$, where $y_1 \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, and $y_2 \in \mathcal{R}^\perp(A)$.
- To find this decomposition, let $y_1 = A\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, ensure that $y_2 = y - y_1 \in \mathcal{R}^\perp(A)$. For this to be true,

$$\langle a_i, y - A\alpha \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

i.e.,

$$\langle A, y - A\alpha \rangle = 0.$$

- Rearranging, we get

$$\langle A, A \rangle \alpha = \langle A, y \rangle$$

- if the columns of A are independent,

$$\alpha = \langle A, A \rangle^{-1} \langle A, y \rangle$$

The Projection theorem and least squares estimation 2

- Decompose $e = e_1 + e_2$ similarly ($e_1 \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, and $e_2 \in \mathcal{R}^\perp(A)$).
- Note $\|e\|^2 = \|e_1\|^2 + \|e_2\|^2$.
- Rewrite $e = y - Ax$ as

$$e_1 + e_2 = y_1 + y_2 - Ax,$$

i.e.,

$$e_2 - y_2 = y_1 - e_1 - Ax.$$

- Each side must be 0, since they are on orthogonal subspaces!
- $e_2 = y_2$ —can't do anything about it.
- $e_1 = y_1 - Ax = A(\alpha - x)$ —minimize by choosing $x = \alpha$. In other words

$$\hat{x} = \langle A, A \rangle^{-1} \langle A, y \rangle.$$

Examples

- If $y, e \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and it is desired to minimize $\|e\|^2 = e'e = \sum_{i=1}^m |e_i|^2$, then

$$\hat{x} = (A'A)^{-1}A'y$$

(If the columns of A are mutually orthogonal, $A'A$ is diagonal, and inversion is easy)

- if $y, e \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and it is desired to minimize $e'Se$, where S is a Hermitian, positive-definite matrix, then

$$\hat{x} = (A'SA)^{-1}A'Sy.$$

- Note that if S is diagonal, then $e'Se = \sum_{i=1}^m s_{ii}|e_i|^2$, i.e., we are minimizing a weighted least square criterion. A large s_{ii} penalizes the i -th component of the error more relative to the others.
- In a general stochastic setting, the weight matrix S should be related to the noise covariance, i.e.,

$$S = (E[ee'])^{-1}.$$

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.241J / 16.338J Dynamic Systems and Control

Spring 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.