



# Deviation of Repeated Trials



Even the stupidest man---by some instinct of nature *per se* and by no previous instruction (this is truly amazing) -- knows for sure that the more observations ...that are taken, the less the danger will be of straying from the mark.

---*Ars Conjectandi* (The Art of Guessing), 1713\*

*Ars Conjectandi* by Jacob Bernoulli, quoted in Introduction to Probability by Charles Grinstead and J. Laurie Snell, published by the American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, in 1997. The book is freely available here: [http://www.dartmouth.edu/~Echance/teaching\\_aids/books\\_articles/probability\\_book/amsbook.mac.pdf](http://www.dartmouth.edu/~Echance/teaching_aids/books_articles/probability_book/amsbook.mac.pdf)



It certainly remains to be inquired whether after the number of observations has been increased, **the probability...of obtaining the true ratio...finally exceeds any given degree of certainty**; **or** whether the problem has, so to speak, its own asymptote---that is, whether **some degree of certainty is given which one can never exceed**.

*Ars Conjectandi* by Jacob Bernoulli, quoted in Introduction to Probability by Charles Grinstead and J. Laurie Snell, published by the American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, in 1997. The book is freely available here: [http://www.dartmouth.edu/~Echance/teaching\\_aids/books\\_articles/probability\\_book/amsbook.mac.pdf](http://www.dartmouth.edu/~Echance/teaching_aids/books_articles/probability_book/amsbook.mac.pdf)



$\Pr\{\text{observed value far from expected value}\}$

is **SMALL**

**How small?**



*Observed value* means random variable, **R**.

*far from* may mean:

- distance or
- amount above (or below)



### Markov Bound

$$\Pr\{\underbrace{R \text{ above } x}_{\text{far}}\} \leq \frac{\underbrace{\mu}_{\text{small}}}{x}$$



### Chebychev Bound

$$\Pr\{\underbrace{|R-\mu|}_{\text{distance}} > \underbrace{x}_{\text{far}}\} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\underbrace{x^2}_{\text{small}}}$$



### Binomial Bound

$$\Pr\{|B_{n,p} - \mu| > x\} \leq e^{-\frac{x^2 \mu}{2}}$$



### Weak Law of Large Numbers

$A_n$  ::= Avg. of  $n$  independent trials  
 $\mu$  ::= E[single trial]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \Pr\{\underbrace{|A_n - \mu|}_{\text{distance}} > \underbrace{\epsilon}_{\text{far}}\} \right] \stackrel{?}{=} \underbrace{0}_{\text{small}}$$



Jacob D. Bernoulli (1659 – 1705)

Therefore, this is the problem which I now set forth and make known after I have pondered over it for **twenty years**. Both its **novelty** and its very **great usefulness**, coupled with its just as **great difficulty**, can exceed in weight and value all the remaining chapters of this thesis.



### The Principle Behind:

- Estimation (polling)
- Algorithm analysis
- Design against failure
- Communication thru noise
- Gambling



### Not Usable as Stated

Need to know the **rate of convergence** to 0 for any application.



### Repeated Trials

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  independent  
with mean,  $\mu$ , and variance  $\sigma^2$   
 $A_n ::= (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n$   
 $E[A_n] = n\mu/n = \mu$



### Repeated Trials

$\text{Var}[X_1 + \dots + X_n] = n\sigma^2$   
(by independence)  
 $\text{Var}[A_n] = n\sigma^2/n^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$   
*decreases with # trials*



### Repeated Trials

So by Chebychev

$$\Pr\{|A_n - \mu| > \varepsilon\} \leq (\sigma/\varepsilon)^2 \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{n}}_{\rightarrow 0}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$



### Weak Law of Large Numbers

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\Pr\{|A_n - \mu| > \varepsilon\}] = 0$$

**QED**