

## Recitation 4: Electrostatic Potential & Carrier Concentration

Yesterday in lecture, we learned that under T.E. (thermal equilibrium), there is a fundamental relationship between the electrostatic potential  $\phi(x)$ , at one location ( $x$ ) within the semiconductor and the carrier concentration at that location.

$$\phi(x) = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \frac{n_o(x)}{n_i} = -\frac{kT}{q} \ln \frac{p_o(x)}{n_i}$$

By the Boltzman relationship (or the 60 mV rule),

$$\phi(x) = (60 \text{ mV}) \ln \frac{n_o(x)}{n_i} = -(60 \text{ mV}) \ln \frac{p_o(x)}{n_i}$$

How did this relation come about?

### Revisit Thermal Equilibrium

1. Under T.E. can we have electrostatic field (or voltage) within the semiconductor?  
Yes. (we do not have “external” energy source). There can be *static* electrostatic field inside the semiconductor generated by “space” charges.
2. Under T.E. can we have an overall current?  
No. That will give rise to charge piling up.

### Some Fundamentals about Electrostatics

Relationship between electrostatic potential  $\phi(x)$ , electric field  $E(x)$ , and (space) charge density  $\rho(x)$ :

1. First, the charge density we are talking about here is the “Net” charge density, we call *space charge density*.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{in n-type material: } \rho(x) &= q(N_d(x) - n(x)) \\ \text{p-type material: } \rho(x) &= q(p(x) - N_a(x)) \end{aligned}$$

( $N_d, N_a$  are space charges.  $\rho(x)$  is the extra which can not be compensated by  $e^-$  or hole free charges)  $\rightarrow$  *space* charge density.

2.  $\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon}$  ( $\epsilon$  = electric permittivity Farad/cm). In other words,

$$E(x) - E(0) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^x \rho(x') dx'$$

Also,  $\frac{d\phi}{dx} = -E(x)$ . In other words:

$$\phi(x) - \phi(0) = - \int_0^x E(x') dx'$$

The two equations above can be combined to give the following relation:

$$\frac{d^2\phi(x)}{dx^2} = -\frac{dE(x)}{dx} = -\frac{\rho(x)}{\epsilon}$$

## Boltzman Relation

Basically the Boltzman relationship exists due to *thermal equilibrium*. Under T.E., for n-type:

$$J_n = 0$$

$$\text{But } J_n = q \cdot n_o \cdot \mu_n \cdot E + q \cdot D_n \cdot \frac{dn_o}{dx} = 0$$

$$-q \cdot n_o \cdot \mu_n \cdot \frac{d\phi}{dx} = -q \cdot D_n \cdot \frac{dn_o}{dx}$$

$$\frac{\mu_n d\phi}{D_n dx} = \frac{1}{n_o} \frac{dn_o}{dx}$$

$$\frac{q}{k \cdot T} \frac{d\phi}{dx} = \frac{d(\ln(n_o))}{dx}$$

$$\text{Integrate: } \frac{q}{k \cdot T} (\phi - \phi_{\text{ref}}) = \ln(n_o) - \ln(n_{o,\text{ref}}) = \ln\left(\frac{n_o}{n_{o,\text{ref}}}\right)$$

Similarly, for p-type:

$$J_p = 0$$

$$\text{But } J_p = q \cdot p_o \cdot \mu_p \cdot E - q \cdot D_p \cdot \frac{dp_o}{dx} = 0$$

$$-q \cdot p_o \cdot \mu_p \cdot \frac{d\phi}{dx} = q \cdot D_p \cdot \frac{dp_o}{dx}$$

$$-\frac{\mu_p d\phi}{D_p dx} = \frac{1}{p_o} \frac{dp_o}{dx}$$

$$-\frac{q}{k \cdot T} \frac{d\phi}{dx} = \frac{d(\ln(p_o))}{dx}$$

$$\text{Integrate: } -\frac{q}{k \cdot T} (\phi - \phi_{\text{ref}}) = \ln(p_o) - \ln(p_{o,\text{ref}}) = \ln\left(\frac{p_o}{p_{o,\text{ref}}}\right)$$

Set  $\phi_{\text{ref}} = 0$  at  $n_{o,\text{ref}} = p_{o,\text{ref}} = n_i$ . Then:

$$-\frac{q}{k \cdot T} \phi = \ln\left(\frac{p_o}{n_i}\right)$$

$$\phi = -\frac{k \cdot T}{q} \ln\left(\frac{p_o}{n_i}\right)$$

$$\text{or } p_o = n_i e^{-\frac{q\phi}{k \cdot T}}$$

## Example

Now let us look at a particular example. We have a doping profile  $N_d(x) = N_{do} + \Delta N_d(1 - e^{-\frac{x}{L_c}})$ .  $N_{do} = 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\Delta N_d = 9 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $L_c = 10 \mu\text{m}$ . We would like to know:

1. What is the electrostatic profile  $\phi(x)$ ?
2. How about electric field  $E(x)$ ?
3. Space charge density  $\rho(x)$ ?

First, we have  $\phi(x)$  vs.  $n_o(x)$ ,  $p_o(x)$  from the Boltzman relationships. If we know  $n_o(x)$ , or  $p_o$ , we can find  $\phi(x)$ .

But does  $n_o(x) = N_{d(x)}$ ? In reality, it should not, if  $n_o(x) = N_{d(x)}$ , we will have a net current due to diffusion Not T.E. anymore.

To obtain an accurate solution, we have:

$$J_n = q \cdot n_o \cdot \mu_n \cdot E + q \cdot D_n \cdot \frac{dn_o}{dx} = 0 \quad (N_d \text{ doping, electron majority carrier, only consider } J_n \text{ here.})$$

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{q}{\epsilon_{Si}}(N_d - n_o)$$

With these two, we get:

$$E = -\frac{D_n}{\mu_n} \frac{1}{n_o} \frac{dn_o}{dx} \implies \frac{k \cdot T}{q} \frac{d^2(\ln n_o)}{dx^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{Si}}(n_o(x) - N_d)$$

But very hard to solve  $n_o(x)$ . In most cases, an analytical solution is impossible. Can we do something simpler?

We make *approximations*! The first type of scenario is  $n_o(x) \simeq N_d$  (“quasi-neutrality”). If

we assume  $n_o(x) \simeq N_d = N_{do} + \Delta N_d(1 - e^{-\frac{x}{L_c}})$ :

$$\text{Define } a \triangleq N_{do} + \Delta N_d(1 - e^{-\frac{x}{L_c}})$$

$$\phi(x) = \frac{k \cdot T}{q} \ln \frac{n_o(x)}{n_i} \simeq \frac{k \cdot T}{q} \ln \frac{a}{n_i}$$

$$E(x) = -\frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} \simeq -\frac{k \cdot T}{q} \frac{1}{a} \Delta N_d \frac{1}{L_c} e^{-\frac{x}{L_c}}$$

$$\rho(x) = \epsilon_{Si} \frac{dE(x)}{dx} \simeq \epsilon_{Si} \frac{k \cdot T}{q} \left( \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta N_d^2 \frac{1}{L_c^2} e^{-\frac{2x}{L_c}} + \frac{1}{a} \Delta N_d \frac{1}{L_c^2} e^{-\frac{x}{L_c}} \right)$$

$$\simeq \epsilon_{Si} \frac{k \cdot T}{q} \frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{\Delta N_d(N_{do} + \Delta N_d)}{L_c^2} \right) e^{-\frac{x}{L_c}}$$

To satisfy quasi-neutrality, we need:

$$\left| \frac{n_o(x) - N_d(x)}{N_d(x)} \right| \ll 1, \text{ we know } (n_o(x) - N_d(x)) = -\frac{\rho(x)}{q}$$

$$\left| \frac{n_o(x) - N_d(x)}{N_d(x)} \right| = \left| \frac{\rho(x)}{q \cdot N_d(x)} \right| \simeq \epsilon_{\text{Si}} \frac{k \cdot T}{q^2} \frac{\Delta N_d(N_{\text{do}} + \Delta N_d)}{a^3} \frac{1}{L_c^2} e^{-\frac{x}{L_c}}$$

$$e^{-\frac{x}{L_c}} \ll 1 \text{ (for } x > 0), \text{ and } \frac{\Delta N_d(N_{\text{do}} + \Delta N_d)}{a^3} < \frac{\Delta N_d(N_{\text{do}} + \Delta N_d)}{(N_{\text{do}})^3}$$

$$\left| \frac{n_o(x) - N_d(x)}{N_d(x)} \right| < \epsilon_{\text{Si}} \frac{k \cdot T}{q^2} \frac{\Delta N_d(N_{\text{do}} + \Delta N_d)}{(N_{\text{do}})^3} \frac{1}{L_c^2} = 1.46 \times 10^{-4} \ll 1$$

Therefore, our *quasi-neutrality* is valid. This quasi-neutrality satisfies when doping profile is gradual. If we have time, we can verify  $J_n^{\text{diff}} = q \cdot D_n \frac{dn_o(x)}{dx} = J_n^{\text{drift}} = q\mu_n n_o(x)E(x)$  using the above equations.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.012 Microelectronic Devices and Circuits  
Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.