

The delta rule

Learn from your mistakes

If it ain't broke, don't fix it.

Outline

- Supervised learning problem
- Delta rule
- Delta rule as gradient descent
- Hebb rule

Supervised learning

- Given examples

$$R^N \rightarrow \{0,1\}$$

$$x_1 \rightarrow y_1$$

$$x_2 \rightarrow y_2$$

$$x_3 \rightarrow y_3$$

⋮

- Find perceptron such that

$$y_a = H(w^T x_a)$$

Example: handwritten digits

- Find a perceptron that detects “two”s.

Image x	4	2	0	1	3
Label y	0	1	0	0	0

Figure by MIT OCW.

Delta rule

$$\Delta w = \eta [y - H(w^T x)]x$$

- Learning from mistakes.
- “delta”: difference between desired and actual output.
- Also called “perceptron learning rule”

Two types of mistakes

- False positive $y = 0, \square H(w^T x) = 1$
 - Make w less like x .
$$\Delta w = -\eta x$$
- False negative $y = 1, \square H(w^T x) = 0$
 - Make w more like x .
$$\Delta w = \eta x$$
- The update is always proportional to x .

Objective function

- Gradient update

$$\Delta w = -\eta \frac{\partial e}{\partial w} \quad e(w, x, y) = |y - H(w^T x)| \|w^T x\|$$

- Stochastic gradient descent on

$$E(w) = \langle e(w, x, y) \rangle$$

- $E=0$ means no mistakes.

Perceptron convergence theorem

- Cycle through a set of examples.
- Suppose a solution with zero error exists.
- The perceptron learning rule finds a solution in finite time.

If examples are nonseparable

- The delta rule does not converge.
- Objective function is not equal to the number of mistakes.
- No reason to believe that the delta rule minimizes the number of mistakes.

Memorization & generalization

- Prescription: minimize error on the training set of examples
- What is the error on a test set of examples?
- Vapnik-Chervonenkis theory
 - assumption: examples are drawn from a probability distribution
 - conditions for generalization

contrast with Hebb rule

$$\Delta w = \eta yx$$

$$\Delta w = \eta(y - \langle y \rangle)x$$

- Assume that the teacher can drive the perceptron to produce the desired output.
- What are the objective functions?

Is the delta rule biological?

- Actual output: anti-Hebbian

$$\Delta w = -\eta H(w^T x)x$$

- Desired output: Hebbian

$$\Delta w = \eta yx$$

- Contrastive

Objective function

- Hebb rule
 - distance from inputs
- Delta rule
 - error in reproducing the output

Supervised vs. unsupervised

- Classification vs. generation
- I shall not today attempt further to define the kinds of material [pornography] ... but I know it when I see it.

– Justice Potter Stewart

Smooth activation function

- same except for slope of f

$$\Delta w = \eta f'(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) [y - f(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})] \mathbf{x}$$

- update is small when the argument of f has large magnitude.

Objective function

- Gradient update

$$\Delta w = -\eta \frac{\partial e}{\partial w} \quad e(w, x, y) = \frac{1}{2} [y - f(w^T x)]^2 \square$$

- Stochastic gradient descent on

$$E(w) = \langle e(w, x, y) \rangle$$

- $E=0$ means zero error.

Smooth activation functions
are important for generalizing
the delta rule to multilayer
perceptrons.